

## WHO ON EARTH IS THE SCAPEGOAT OF CLIMATE DILEMMA

**Pengyu Li**

*Uppsala University, Sweden*

Regardless of the Durban conference has delivered historical breakthrough or triggered "almost useless" debates, the arguments between major powers on offset responsibilities still up in the air. When the developed countries were demanding a legally binding emission promise from China and Indian, emerging countries with large populations intend to frame the disputes on paying historical debts at the same time. Even though productive studies like "contraction and convergence", "Greenhouse development rights" are widely advocated recent years, innovative ideas like "carbon budget proposal", broader "human development approach" are gaining substantial positive reviews, a mutual understanding is still elusive due to various interests. In a nutshell, the current climate dialogue is a situation without an equilibrium agreement on who the climate negotiators should represent in a power shifting era.

This report was inspired during my master thesis composition stage and conducted individually starting from February, 2012. The general methodology used here is literature review based on todays featuring theories, aiming at creating a better understanding of feasible solution dealing with this academic dynamic.

The report concludes at the end that everyone given the citizen rights in the name of earth should be the fundamental principle of academic theories relating to climate justice. To recognize that in the midst of a magnificent diversity of cultures and life forms we are nothing but one human community is the key point to fulfill our shared visions. As the international climate conversation is going to be more diplomatic, theoretical studies based merely on individual or national interests can not clear up the problem anymore, because climate issue is our common interest, the lacking of integrated indicators and holistic view toward climate issues will push any political effort into a dead end. Under the common threat of mankind, legal right to express human behalvs should be the weight of political negotiations and academical studies. A global citizen concept cooperates the maximum possible strength of the international society to fight against future climate risks, it provides comparatively the broadest adoption of climate democracy and justice, hence increased the fairness of climate negotiation. Correspondingly, the conceptual framework can not be function without an appropriate international cooperating system, this should be a forum which can be independent from individual influence, represents the bottom of the international society who is undergoing the infringement of climate change right now.

In order to reach a recognition of the conceptual subjectivity out of individual struggles (Alexander, 2003), a fairly treated "earth citizen" rights with its all-around and comprehensive characteristics is a sound solution to reconcile past issues, present welfare and future needs. It requires a documented earth constitution to take care of human welfares, in which the demand of historical obligations should not be an extra burden for the future generations who have already willing to take proper actions in the future. If not the case, the dragging of negotiation time will make everyone dwelling on this planet the scapegoat instead of any individual nations.