

Banks and Bank Systems

International Research Journal

Volume 5, Issue 4, 2010

Issued from 2006

Published quarterly

ISSN 1816-7403

ISSN online 1991-7074

Head of the Editorial Board

Prof. Anatoliy Yepifanov

The journal examines the processes of banking systems development in the countries of the world and individual banks; their transformation influenced by globalization and liberalization processes. It also addresses the problems of maintaining a competitive edge.

Address:

Publishing Company
“Business Perspectives”
Dzerzhynsky lane 10,
Sumy 40022 Ukraine

E-mail: head@businessperspectives.org

URL: <http://www.businessperspectives.org>

The authors are responsible for the reliability of information which materials published contain.

© Publishing Company “Business Perspectives”, 2010

Copyright: All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transferred, advertised, demonstrated, adapted, rearranged, translated in any form or bought by any means. This also concerns the distribution, disposition, property renting, commercial renting, or any other kind of renting, reprinting, siting, importing or public demonstration. In case of reprinting and reproduction of the materials the prior written permission of the Publisher is required. The above-named requirements should be also referred to non-profit basis as well as any free access to the previous, current and future issues of the publication.

Head of the Editorial Board

Anatoliy Yepifanov, Dr., Professor, Honored Economist of Ukraine, Rector of Ukrainian Academy of Banking of the National Bank of Ukraine (Ukraine)

Advisory Board

Giovanni Barone-Adesi, Ph.D., Professor of Financial Theory, Faculty of Economics, University of Lugano (Università della Svizzera Italiana), Director of the Institute of Finance (Switzerland)

Jan Gluchowski, Dr., Professor, Rector of the Torun Banking School (Poland)

George G. Kaufman, Ph.D., Dr., Professor of Finance and Economics, Director of the Center for Financial and Policy Studies, School of Business Administration, Loyola University Chicago (USA)

Marco J. Menichetti, Dr., Professor, Chair of Business Administration, Banking and Financial Management, Institute for Financial Services, University Liechtenstein (Germany)

Daniel Stavarek, Ph.D., Dr., Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Finance, Silesian University, School of Business Administration (Czech Republic)

Tatjana Volkova, Dr., Professor, Rector of BA School of Business and Finance (Latvia)

Editorial Board

H. Abdou, Associate Professor of the Higher Education Academy, Lecturer in Finance & Banking, The University of Salford, Business School Maxwell Building Salford, Greater Manchester (UK)

M. M. Ali, Ph.D., Professor, Chairman of School of Business, Atish Dipankar University of Science and Technology (Bangladesh)

E. Altman, Professor of Finance, Stern School of Business, New York University, Director of the Credit and Fixed Income Research Program, NYU Salomon Center (USA)

O. Baranovsky, Dr. of Sciences in Economics, Professor, Head of Department of Researches of Financial Markets Development and Regulation, Institute of Economics and Forecasting of NAS of Ukraine (Ukraine)

M.I. Blejer, Director of Centre for Central Banking Studies, Bank of England (UK)

K. Bröker, Dr., Professor, Attorney at Law, Law Office Prof., Dr. Bröker (Germany)

S.G. Cecchetti, Professor of International Economics and Finance, International Business School, Brandeis University, Director of Research, Rosenberg Institute for Global Finance (USA)

T. Chang, Ph.D., Lecturer in Business Economics, Centre for Research in Advertising and Consumption (CRiAC), ESRC Centre for Competition Policy, School of Management, University of Bath (UK)

J.A. Consiglio, Dr., Department of Banking and Finance, Faculty of Economics, Management & Accountancy, The University of Malta, Chairman of the Income Tax Tribunal of Special Commissioners (Malta)

B. Danylyshyn, Member-correspondent of NAS of Ukraine, Interim Chairman of Council of Investigating Productive Forces of Ukraine of NAS of Ukraine (Ukraine)

V. Geyets, Academician of NAS of Ukraine (Department of Economics), Director of Institute of Economics and Forecasting of NAS of Ukraine, Head of the Board of the National Bank of Ukraine (Ukraine)

I. Hasan, Cary L. Wellington Professor of Finance, Director of the International Center for Financial Research, Lally School of Management and Technology of the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (USA)

S. Heffernan, Professor of Banking and Finance, Faculty of Finance, Cass Business School, City of London (UK)

E. Hüpkes, Head of Regulation, Swiss Federal Banking Commission (Switzerland)

A. Janc, Head of the Department of Banking, Dean of the Faculty of Economics, The Poznań University of Economics (Poland)

T.W. Koch, Professor of Finance, SCBA Chair of Banking, Moore School of Business, University of South Carolina (USA)

O. Kozmenko, Dr. of Economics, Professor, Ukrainian Academy of Banking of the National Bank of Ukraine (Ukraine)

M.K. Lewis, Ph.D., Professor of Banking and Finance, School of Commerce, University of South Australia (Australia)

D.T. Llewellyn, Professor of Money and Banking, Loughborough University (UK)

G. Marotta, Full Professor of Economics, Director of the Graduate Course (Master Degree) in Financial Analysis, Consultancy and Management, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (Italy)

A. Mullineux, Professor of Global Finance, Department of Accounting and Finance, The Birmingham Business School, The University of Birmingham (UK)

E. Orhaner, Head of Department of Banking and Insurance Education, Faculty of Education of Commerce and Tourism, Gazi University (Turkey)

L. Pawłowicz, Professor, Gdańsk University, Director of Gdańsk Academy of Banking, Deputy President of the Management Board, Gdańsk Institute of Market Economics (Poland)

S. Poloucek, Head of the Department of Finance and Head of the Institute of Doctoral Studies, School of Business Administration in Karviná, Silesian University (Czech Republic)

A. Reichert, Professor, Department of Finance, Nance College of Business Administration, Cleveland State University (USA)

P. Reichling, Dr., Professor, Head of the Chair of Banking and Finance, Otto-von-Guericke-University, Magdeburg; Director of the Research Centre for Development of Savings Banks, Magdeburg (Germany)

B. Saltoglu, Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, Boğaziçi University, Bebek, İstanbul (Turkey)

K. Schafer, Dr., Professor, Chair of Finance and Banking, University of Bayreuth (Germany)

D. Schönwitz, Dr., Professor, Principal of the University of Applied Sciences of the Deutsche Bundesbank (Germany)

M.H. Sharma, Ph.D., Professor of Banking, School of Accounting and Finance, University of the South Pacific (Fiji Islands)

M. Sörg, Professor of Money and Banking, Head of the Institute of Finance and Accounting, University of Tartu (Estonia)

P. Steiner, Head of the Institute of Banking and Finance, Graz University (Austria)

M. Theobald, Professor of Finance and Investment, Head of Accounting & Finance Subject Group, Birmingham Business School, University of Birmingham (UK)

J.B. Thomson, Ph.D., Vice-President of the Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland; Lecturer, Weatherhead School of Management, Case Western Reserve University (USA)

D. Tripe, Senior Lecturer at the Department of Finance, Banking & Property; Director of the Centre for Banking Studies, Massey University (New Zealand)

I. Walter, Professor of Finance, Stern School of Business, New York University; Director of the Stern Global Business Institute, NYU Stern School of Business (USA)

L. White, Ph.D., CTP, Director of Banking Excellence Program, Interim Warren Chair of Real Estate, Department of Finance and Economics, College of Business and Industry, Mississippi State University (USA)

V. Wise, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Faculty of Business and Law, Deakin Business School, Deakin University (Australia)

E. L. Yeyati, Professor of Economics and Finance, School of Business, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella (Argentina)

H.-Ch. Yu, Professor of Finance, Chung Yuan Christian University Business School (Taiwan)

A. N. Al Zararee, Dr., Professor, Chairman of Banking and Finance Department, Philadelphia University (Jordan)

S. A. Zenios, Professor of Finance and Management Science, University of Cyprus (Cyprus)

M. Zineldin, Ph.D., Professor in Economics, School of Management and Economics, Växjö University (Sweden)

Contents

Chiara Pederzoli, Costanza Torricelli	
A parsimonious default prediction model for Italian SMEs	5
Johann Burgstaller, Teodoro D. Cocca	
Profitability, efficiency and growth in the private banking industry: evidence from Switzerland and Liechtenstein	10
Jun Nagayasu	
Regional inflation and monetary policy in China	21
Jesús Gustavo Garza-García	
What influences net interest rate margins? Developed versus developing countries	32
Anatoliy Yepifanov, Vyacheslav Plastun	
Specifics of national debt management and its consequences for the Ukrainian economy	42
Anıl Talaslı	
The demand for excess reserves in Turkey	47
Sat Paul Parashar, Jyothi Venkatesh	
How did Islamic banks do during global financial crisis?	54
Vighneswara Swamy	
Bank-based financial intermediation for financial inclusion and inclusive growth	63
Hicham Benjelloun	
Financial leverage and the channeling of resources: profit loss sharing under the framework of Islamic financial institutions	74
Izah Mohd Tahir, Sudin Haron	
Cost and profit efficiency of Islamic banks: international evidence using the stochastic frontier approach	78
Authors of the issue	84
Submission guidelines for authors	85
A joint subscription form 2011/2012	86

Anatoliy Yepifanov (Ukraine), Vyacheslav Plastun (Ukraine)

Specifics of national debt management and its consequences for the Ukrainian economy

Abstract

This article is about the specifics of the national debt management in Ukraine. The authors conducted the research of the current state, impact on the national economy and made suggestions of how to increase the effectiveness of national debt management.

Keywords: debt, national debt, national debt management.

JEL Classification: H60, H63.

Introduction

In the recent years it has become necessary to form the conditions for stabilization of the financial system of Ukraine in order to achieve acceptable economic growth, carry out the integration of the national economy into the global economic system, and overcome the inflation and dependence of the state and the national economic entities from external financing. These processes may be connected with the effective policies of the national debt management in Ukraine. These problems are especially important due to the increased volume of external debts of the government, banking institutions, and business entities. Moreover, the practice of many countries indicates that inefficiency in terms of the structure of the debt maturities, currencies, interest rates and non-secured obligations were important factors in the launching and expanding of economic crises.

These problems are thoroughly examined in the publications of Ukrainian and foreign scientists, especially in research-papers of such scholars as A. Kirichenko, A. Baranovsky, V. Kucher, T. Vakhnenko, N. Prykazyuk, T. Motashko, S. Yuriy, T. Bondaruk, V. Kudrytskyy, R. Barro, A. Missale, L. Mosley. Many researchers emphasize the general problems of national debt accumulation, indicating a significant effect of target programs of international financial institutions.

In our opinion, there are several issues which require further research, particularly, how efficiently the government manages its debt and whether there is a clear policy in this direction. Certain government actions indicate that there is a lack of long-term debt management program. What's more, the majority of loans, received by various subjects of market relations, are rather a reaction to the current economic situation than pre-weighted decisions.

Within this article we will examine the current state of the national debt of Ukraine, outline reasons of changes in the present stage and formulate several proposals in order to improve the politics of national debt management in Ukraine.

1. Review of policy issues

Public debt in general is a complicated mechanism, whose functioning depends on the actions of many subjects of market relations. Therefore, public debt management requires a whole system of methods for its regulation. These methods have their relevance in terms of revealing the problems that exist in this area. That's why, we should, first of all, analyze the overall state of the national debt in Ukraine and then determine how effective the current policy of its management is. Table 1 shows the latest available data on the key indicators of the national debt in Ukraine.

Table 1. Indicators of the national debt in Ukraine, 2003-2008 (million USD)*

Indicator	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Public administration sector	8929	11204	12214	12894	14673	14590
National Bank of Ukraine	1919	1690	1254	880	462	4725
Banks, including	1746	2662	6112	14089	30949	39424
short-term	1087	1652	3084	6465	11723	9330
long-term	659	1010	3028	7624	19226	30094
Other sectors, including	10803	14532	19205	24706	33026	40248
short-term	7928	8783	7860	8747	10297	12653
long-term	2875	5749	11345	15959	22729	27595
Direct foreign investments: intercompany debt	414	559	834	1943	3079	4249
Gross national debt	23811	30647	39619	54512	82189	103236
% of GDP	48%	47%	46%	51%	59%	57%
% of export of goods and services	82%	74%	89%	109%	128%	121%
% of official reserves	29%	32%	49%	41%	40%	31%

*Source: Ministry of Finance of Ukraine, National Bank of Ukraine.

It should be noted that absolute indexes of all indicators of the national debt in Ukraine have increased. The gross national debt (GDP) has increased by nearly 4.5 times during 2003-2008 that led to a situation when official reserves can cover only 1/3 of the national debt. The average annual growth rate of the national debt was up to 135 %, much higher than the GDP growth so that the ratio of the national debt to GDP was almost 60 % (2007). The same can be said about its ratio to exports of goods and services. If during 2003-2005 the total revenues from export were able to cover the national debt, then, starting from 2006, the national debt exceeded export.

In this case, it is important to note what indicators influence this growth. It should be clarified that most debts are long-term ones. That means that Ukraine got a deferment on loans' payment, when the country entered the crisis. This provides the capability to fulfil obligations, hoping for economic recovery and rise of liquidity of global financial markets, which could be used to refinance debts.

The statistics show that the growth of the national debt in Ukraine resulted from the banking sector. The total debts of banking institutions increased 22.5 times. Short-term debts increased 8.5 times and long-term debts – 45.7 times. The growth rates

occurred in geometric progression, doubling almost every year (except 2008). Mergers and acquisitions in banking, which started in 2005-2006, led to a significant inflow of credit funds from parent institutions. So, in most cases these growth rates were caused by them. In some way it had positive consequences for the Ukrainian economy, because those loans helped to increase the volumes of consumer credits, mortgage credits and loans to buy cars and durable goods. It automatically resulted in the increase of housing construction, growth of imports of respective commodity groups, and the rise of growth rates in related industries.

It should also be noted that direct foreign investments increased dramatically – more than 10 times within 2003-2008. This situation may indicate that foreign investors' trust is growing in domestic companies.

Growth rates of other indicators did not exceed the average: debts of public administration sector increased only 1.6 times, debts of the National Bank of Ukraine – 2.5 times, debts of other sectors – 3.7 times.

These trends led to substantial redistribution in the structure of the national debt in Ukraine (see Table 2).

Table 2. Structure of the national debt in Ukraine, 2003-2008

Indicator	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Public administration sector	37%	37%	31%	24%	18%	14%
National Bank of Ukraine	8%	6%	3%	2%	1%	5%
Banks	7%	9%	15%	26%	38%	38%
Other sectors	45%	47%	48%	45%	40%	39%
Direct foreign investments: intercompany debt	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	4%
Gross national debt	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

In 2003 the majority of the national debt (82 %) was concentrated in the public administration sector and other sectors. But in the following years it became apparent that the growth of the banking sector can be supported only through external sources. Its share was steadily increasing from 7% (2003) to 38% (2007-2008), i.e. the same amount that the public administration sector had at the beginning of the analyzed period. Redistribution was made at its expense. Public administration sector completely lost its weight (only 14% in 2008). On the one hand, it can be considered as a positive feature, because direct government debts are not so big, but on the other hand, the gross national debt becomes less controlled and the influence of the government can no longer be effective.

Despite the fact that other sectors lost their position during that time their share still remains the biggest one – 39% (2008).

Although the indicator of “direct foreign investment” significantly increased, as mentioned above, it does not play an important role in the structure of the national debt (4% in 2008), but, taking into account the financial crisis, it will lose its importance completely.

Concerning the debts of the National Bank of Ukraine (NBU), we should underline that they were falling during this period (up to 2007), but increased significantly in 2008 more than 10 times. That is why its share reached 5% in the gross national debt, although, in comparison with 2003 it lost its weight, when its share was 8%.

It should be mentioned that this situation influenced the economy badly, in general. Primarily, it is due to the necessity to pay off debts. The basic principles of the monetary policy in 2010 stated that “the outflow of funds will continue because of capital transactions and financial transactions, however, in

smaller amounts than it was in 2009. The amount of scheduled payments for direct and guaranteed national debt will be no less than 2.3 billion USD, and for private debts – more than 18 billion USD in 2010” [9].

Obviously, such trends affect the exchange rate of UAH to USD (as the majority of loans were made in that currency). Since 2005 imports exceeded exports and, thus, the main source of US dollars is no longer in operation. The only possibility to provide such payments is to use the official reserves. In the long run, the significant reduction of official reserves will lead to the weakening of UAH because of excessive demand over supply and limited possibilities of the NBU to cover it, taking into account the lack of liquid assets in the NBU. Most likely, it will lead to the reduction in imports due to its lower efficiency because of the falling exchange rate. In this situation we can expect a gradual levelling of the situation in favour of exports, which will provide opportunities to accumulate additional foreign currency reserves. In our opinion, other sources of foreign currency will not be very important because the inflow of direct foreign investments is very unlikely during the global financial crisis. The possibility for banks to attract foreign currency to deposits also seems uncertain, despite the fact that interest rates are quite appealing. However, in our view, it will be easier for banks to fulfil their obligations. But we can't be sure about debts of other entities, whose share in the structure of the national debt is larger than that of banks.

Let's qualify the factors that may have a positive impact on the economy as a result of the growing national debt:

- ◆ acceleration of economic growth;
- ◆ the possibility of large-scale investment and implementation of long-term developing programmes;
- ◆ funds can be raised under much lower rates and for longer terms compared with the possibilities of the domestic financial system;
- ◆ corporate loans tend to have investment direction;
- ◆ implementation of long-term lending programs of physical and legal persons etc.

There are also several negative factors associated with the increase of the national debt:

- ◆ the vulnerability of domestic financial system because of the world financial crisis;
- ◆ volatility of exchange rates, which may affect the ability of national economic entities to meet their obligations;

- ◆ mismatch of debts' currency and assets' currency of the entity;
- ◆ gap between the terms of obtained funds and revenues from investment projects;
- ◆ inability to fulfil obligations in the case of limited access to the sources of foreign currency;
- ◆ low liquidity of global financial markets may reduce the possibility of debt restructuring.


It is necessary to emphasize that there are several problems in the Ukrainian economy, which are caused by the world financial crises, and which could prevent a successful introduction of any government actions in the national debt management:

- ◆ the confidence in the performance of banks has been broken;
- ◆ falling of real GDP per capita;
- ◆ rise of unemployment rate;
- ◆ decline of direct foreign investments;
- ◆ devaluation of the national currency;
- ◆ difficulties on credit markets and need for state intervention;
- ◆ decline of international trade, etc. [14, p. 207].

It is obvious that the pros and cons of the external debt growth are quite significant. Therefore, the government should have specific tools to influence effectively the national debt. Obviously, the practice of recent years has proven that the policies of the national debt management in Ukraine are inefficient, reacting with delay and can not solve the most urgent problems in the financial system. According to many researchers, it should be a system of direct and indirect measures. However, indirect methods are preferable, because “direct control over capital flows encourages economic agents to rent-seeking and isolates the internal capital market” [2, p. 18].

The policy of the national debt management should be adopted on the government level, because critical levels of the national debt could cause a real threat to the national financial security and even limit the national sovereignty. It is believed that if the national debt exceeds 50% of the GDP and the costs of the debt service are more than 30% of exports, than it constitutes a menace to the country [12, p. 8]. Ukraine is close enough to these figures and, taking into account that the country was involved in the assistance program of IMF in 2009, we have to admit that the situation is becoming critical.

For example, according to the Ministry of Finance of Ukraine [8] in the next 10 years the repayment of the public administration sector's debt will have the tendency, shown in Figure 1 (taking into account that principal remains unchanged).



*Source: The Ministry of Finance of Ukraine.

Fig. 1. Debt depreciation of the public administration sector in 2010-2020 (billion UAH)*

2. Recommendations

The government should take several steps to minimize budget costs during the crisis, including the following:

1. To abandon attempts to increase the authorized capital of financially weak banks, not excluding the possibility of the bankruptcy of large banks, and to limit financial support to the subsidiaries of transnational banks.
2. To improve liquidity-support mechanisms and procedures of banks.
3. To initiate the process of restructuring the external debts of corporate borrowers.
4. To refrain from financing budget deficit through emission and keep the moderate course of monetary policy.
5. To set up the limit of the budget deficit at a 3%-level of GDP.
6. To strengthen the role of the internal market loans in the financing of budget deficit, to issue government bonds with floating rate, to issue savings bonds and distribute them among individuals.
7. To continue cooperation with international financial institutions and follow the restrained policy of attracting foreign loans from foreign governments [4, pp. 49-51].

In addition to the public sector debt, the share of which is 14% of the gross national debt, there is a

References

1. Бондарук Т.Г. Механізм управління та обслуговування державного боргу [Текст] /Т. Г. Бондарук // Фінанси України, 2003, № 4. – С.14-19.
2. Вахненко Т.П. Концептуальні засади управління зовнішнім національним боргом України [Текст] / Т. Вахненко // Економіка України, 2007, № 1. – С.14-24.
3. Вахненко Т.П. Особливості формування державного боргу та управління його складовими в період фінансової кризи [Текст] / Т.П. Вахненко // Фінанси України, 2009, № 6. – С.14-29.
4. Вахненко Т.П. Політика державних запозичень та управління корпоративними боргами в умовах фінансової кризи [Текст] / Т. Вахненко // Економіст, 2009, № 3. – С.48-52.
5. Вахненко, Т.П. Зовнішні боргові зобов'язання у системі світових фінансово-економічних відносин [Текст] / Т. П. Вахненко; Ін-т економ. прогнозування НАН України. – К.: Фенікс, 2006. – 536 с.

significant share of obligations of other entities. It is much more difficult to influence them by the government. We would like to underline the positive aspect of these obligations – the majority of them are long-term. However, we can't exclude the possibility that those debt repayments could considerably influence Ukrainian economy in the future. Therefore, the Government and the National Bank of Ukraine together with the major debtors should develop an action plan to restructure their debts, especially those ones, which must be paid to non-residents. The following debt restructuring schemes could be used:

- ◆ respite of payments (prolongation);
- ◆ new loans used for the payment of previous debts;
- ◆ debt cancellation or its redemption;
- ◆ exchange debt for shares in domestic enterprises or the national currency;
- ◆ securitisation of debt [6, p. 251].

In addition, the authorities should carry out a series of steps to:

- ◆ replenish the reserves to a level sufficient to meet the basic needs of residents in foreign currency;
- ◆ improve the financial management of state enterprises and introduce a stricter control of the Ministry of Finance over external loans;
- ◆ strengthen the prudential regulation and establish the exact requirements for bank reserves, especially to the credits in foreign currencies.

Conclusion

Summarizing the above-said, we should emphasize that national debt in Ukraine needs to be optimized according to the terms, currencies, and interest rates. The government must pay attention not only to obligations of the public sector, but also to the debts of other entities. The effectiveness of the policy of the national debt management will be determined by the gradual reduction of the gross national debt in the long run.

6. Губар В. Основні засади управління державним зовнішнім боргом, сформовані міжнародною практикою [Текст] / В. Губар // Вісник Національної академії державного управління при Президентіві України, 2004, № 3. – С.247-254.
7. Кириченко О. А. Сучасні теорії управління зовнішньою заборгованістю [Текст] / О. А. Кириченко, В. Д. Кудрицький // Актуальні проблеми економіки, 2009, № 7. – С.15-28.
8. Міністерство фінансів України: Офіційний сайт [Електронне джерело] / Режим доступу: <http://minfin.kmu.gov.ua/>
9. Основні засади грошово-кредитної політики на 2010 рік [Електронне джерело] / Режим доступу: http://bank.gov.ua/Rada_NBU/OsnovZasad-2010.pdf
10. Приказюк Н.В. Державні запозичення: світовий досвід та особливості здійснення в Україні [Текст] / Н.В. Приказюк, Т.П. Моташко // Фондовый рынок, 2009, № 22. – С.26-32.
11. Шпачук В. Проблеми управління зовнішнім боргом України [Текст] / В. Шпачук // Збірник наукових праць Української академії державного управління при Президентіві України, 2001, № 1. – С.173-180.
12. Юрій С.І. Управління зростанням на основі боргу і Україна [Текст] / С.І. Юрій // Журнал європейської економіки, 2007, № 1 – С.6-28.
13. Barro, Robert J. (1995). Optimal Debt Management (October 1995). NBER Working Paper Series, Vol. w 5327. – pp. 42-58.
14. Haber, Józef Antoni (2010). Financial crisis as a global challenge: some thoughts of practical importance (Poland). World Financial Crisis: causes, consequences, ways of overcoming. Sumy: Business Perspectives. – pp. 193-210.
15. Missale, Alessandro (1999). Public Debt Management. Oxford: University Press. – 310 p.
16. Mosley, Layna (2000). Room to move: international financial markets and national welfare states international organization, 54. – pp. 737-773.

Authors of the issue

- Chiara Pederzoli** – Ph.D., Assistant Professor of Mathematical Finance, Department of Quantitative Methods for Economics and Business, University of Milano-Bicocca and Cefin (Centro Studi Banca e Finanza), University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (Italy)
- Costanza Torricelli** – Ph.D., Full Professor of Mathematical Finance, Department of Economics and Cefin (Centro Studi Banca e Finanza), University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (Italy)
- Johann Burgstaller** – Dr., Assistant Professor, Department of Finance, University of Linz (Austria)
- Teodoro D. Cocca** – Dr., Professor, Chair of Wealth and Asset Management, Department of Finance, Johannes Kepler University (Austria)
- Jun Nagayasu** – Ph.D., Associate Professor of Economics, Graduate School of Systems and Information Engineering, University of Tsukuba (Japan)
- Jesús Gustavo Garza-García** – Ph.D., Financial Researcher, Directorate of Financial System Analysis, Banco de Mexico (Mexico)
- Anatoliy Yepifanov** – Dr., Professor, Honored Economist of Ukraine, Rector of Ukrainian Academy of Banking of the National Bank of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Vyacheslav Plastun** – Ph.D., Associate Professor, Ukrainian Academy of Banking of the National Bank of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Anil Talaslı** – Assistant Expert, Department of Marketing, Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey (Turkey)
- Sat Paul Parashar** – Ph.D., Head of Center for Banking, Head of Research, Bahrain Institute of Banking and Finance (Bahrain)
- Jyothi Venkatesh** – Ph.D., Senior Lecturer, Bahrain Institute of Banking and Finance (Bahrain)
- Vighneswara Swamy** – Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Department of Finance, IBS-Hyderabad (India)
- Hicham Benjelloun** – Ph.D., Associate Professor of Finance, College of Business and Economics, Qatar University (Qatar)
- Izah Mohd Tahir** – Ph.D., Associate Professor, Faculty of Business Management & Accountancy, University Sultan Zainal (Malaysia)
- Sudin Haron** – Ph.D., Professor of Banking, Director of Research & Publication Centre, Asian Institute of Finance (Malaysia)

Submission guidelines for authors

The cover page of a manuscript should contain the **title** and **name(s)** of the author(s). The author's name, degree, position and the place of work as well as contact details (phone number, job or/and personal e-mail) should be provided at the bottom of this page.

1. Abstract preparation guidelines

- 1.1. The abstract (150-200 words) should reflect the conceptual content of the article.
- 1.2. Journal of Economic Literature (JEL) classifications are necessary.

2. The paper main body preparation guidelines

- 2.1. The paper should present the result of independent original research, undertaken by the author; it also should contain the data never published before.
- 2.2. The paper should contain a clear description of research objective and its subject.
- 2.3. The methodology of research should be described in detail.
- 2.4. The author's personal scientific contribution must be grounded in the paper.
- 2.5. The paper should contain basic suggestions on how to solve the problem under study.

3. References in the text

- 3.1. References in the text are made as follows: (Myers, 2000), the former being name of the author, the latter edition year.
- 3.2. Examples of references:
Alchian A., S. Woodward. Reflections on the Theory of the Firm // Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics, 1987. – №143. – pp. 110-136.
Berle A.A., G.C. Means. The Modern Corporation and Private Property. – New York: Macmillan, 1932. – 418 pp.

4. Manuscript length

- 4.1. The paper should not be less than 2000 words and should not exceed 6000 words.

5. Submission guidelines

Please send your paper using one of the formats listed below:

- 5.1. A soft copy as an MS Word .doc file (all versions accepted) or as .rtf file to:
Journal "Banks and Bank Systems":
Executive Editor: ppmmaineditor@businessperspectives.org
- 5.2. A hard copy supplied with a disc containing a soft copy of the paper to:
Publishing company "Business Perspectives"
Dzerzhynsky lane, 10
Sumy 40022
Ukraine

6. Reviewing process

All papers are refereed by the international competent researchers using a "double-blind" review which is the best practice in papers reviewing.

7. Acceptance fee

We offer a very democratic fee policy to our contributors. We only ask for payment from those authors whose papers have already been reviewed and accepted for publication in the journal.

Follow guidelines to complete it.

“PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES IN MANAGEMENT”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print 560
 Online 370
 Print version + online 720

“INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AND FINANCIAL INNOVATIONS”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print version 560
 Online 370
 Print version + online 720

“INNOVATIVE MARKETING”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print version 495
 Online 350
 Print version + online 580

“BANKS AND BANK SYSTEMS”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print version 495
 Online 350
 Print version + online 570

“INSURANCE MARKETS AND COMPANIES: ANALYSES AND ACTUARIAL COMPUTATIONS”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print version 495
 Online 350
 Print version + online 570

“ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS”



For institutional subscribers EURO

Print version 495
 Online 350
 Print version + online 570




WORLD FINANCIAL CRISIS: CAUSES, CONSEQUENCES, WAYS OF OVERCOMING
 ISBN 978-966-2965-07-0

About the book:

- ◆ Language – English
- ◆ Publisher – "Business Perspectives" Publishing Company
- ◆ Editorship – Serhiy Kozmenko, Tetyana Vasylyeva
- ◆ Year of publishing – December 2009
- ◆ Number of pages – about 400 pages (hardback)

Unit cost:

1. Single copy – 84€.
2. Five and more copies – 80€ each.
3. Ten and more copies – 70€ each.




CENTRALIZATION OF TREASURY MANAGEMENT
 ISBN 978-966-2965-08-7

About the book:

- ◆ Authors – Petr Polák (Chapters 1-11), Ivan Klusáček (Chapter 12)
- ◆ Language – English
- ◆ Publisher – "Business Perspectives" Publishing Company
- ◆ Year of publishing – February 2010
- ◆ Number of pages – about 100 pages (paperback)

Unit cost: one copy – 34€.



THE TRUTH ABOUT THE GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS
 ISBN 978-966-2965-06-3

About the book:

- ◆ Author – Omar Masood
- ◆ Language – English
- ◆ Publisher – "Business Perspectives" Publishing Company
- ◆ Year of publishing – December 2009
- ◆ Number of pages – about 150 pages (paperback)

Unit cost: one copy – 30€.

Underline one of the payment methods you prefer, and write the amount to pay (if you prefer, you can pay by one check/bank transfer to subscribe to all the journals):

1. I enclose a check for USD / EURO _____;
2. Send me an invoice for USD / EURO _____.

Write your contact details here:

Name _____ Institution _____
 Address _____ E-mail _____ Tel _____
 Signature _____

Please, send this form (with the check if you prefer to pay by check) at:

Ms. Lyudmyla Ostapenko
 Publishing company "Business Perspectives"
 Dzerzhynsky lane, 10, Sumy, 40022 Ukraine
 E-mail: head@businessperspectives.org