

factors in the stability indicator is proposed on the basis of an econometric approach, which considers the construction of the equations multiple non-linear regression in such form:

$$R = -91\,783,33 - 44\,754,38 \ln OC - 338\,405,64 \ln E + 385\,645,31 \ln A + \\ + 1\,260,82 \ln^2 OC + 8\,606,86 \ln^2 E - 9\,744,91 \ln^2 A \quad (5)$$

where  $R$  – the indicator of the banking system stability;  
 $OC$  – equity capital;  
 $E$  – liabilities;  
 $A$  – assets.

Analysis of the equation parameters (5) allows to make the following conclusions. With the increase of the banks equity capital to the amount 177,48 billion (18 % of GDP) the level of banking system stability will gradually reduce. Increase of the equity capital above the specified value will cause the revive of Ukrainian banking system stability. A similar tendency is characterized the liabilities (critical value of 196,59 billion – 19,94 % of GDP), in contrast to assets, the which influence on the effective sign is opposite. Therefore, as the relationship between the stability indicator and assets are presented in the form of polynomial second order branches down, the increase in the assets to the level of 197,87 billion (20,07 % of GDP) is accompanied by increase of productive character. The excess of this factor he specified value gradually leads to the loss of the banking system the stable state.

The accuracy and adequacy of revealed regularities are confirmed: criterion Fisher, the actual value of which 3,52 exceeds a critical permissible level of 3,41; the coefficient of determination at the level of 70,10 %; statistically significant parameters of the regression equation ( $t$ -criterion).

Thus, modeling the dynamics stability of the Ukrainian banking system is allowed to define the stability indicator, explore its dynamics as time series, identify its' main factors, realize decomposition of a system-forming components of the effective indicator.

*Iryna Lopatkina, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Ukrainian Academy of Banking of the National Bank of Ukraine, Ukraine; Viktor Lopatkin, MBA program, Lincoln University of California, Oakland, USA*

## **MACROPRUDENTIAL REGULATION: THE SHIFT IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMIC**

In the aftermath of the recent global financial crisis the concept of government regulatory role has drastically changed. Failure to develop a policy that would create a net positive effect for the economy as a whole

revealed how ill prepared the leaders of the global economy were. The new shift of the economic paradigm implies transfer from a more detailed and segmented vision to a high level perception of the economy not only on the country scale, but on a global scale as well.

It became clear that systemic risk is a far more important subject than the combination of individual risks concentrated in certain sectors of the economy or even specific companies. Government targeting policies (inflation targeting, unemployment targeting etc.) have little effect if the pressure built in one area of the economy transfer elsewhere. Further understanding of such shift created a new concept in economic theory-macroprudential regulation.

The essence of the theory is in expanding the scope of economic regulation to a whole economy with its intricate risks, higher number of involved factors and a growing complexity of interconnections of these elements. The concept of macroprudential regulation expanded to encompass global economic relations. The goal of such regulation is to make decision on economic policies based on expected outcome for global economic system as a whole. Understanding the mechanism of effective macroprudential regulation has become a challenge for the financial officials.

Over the years we have developed a large range of metric to assess the individual industry risks as well as risks of concentrated in specific niches of corporate sector. The biggest obstacle in the way of macroprudential adaptation is development of systemic risk metrics. How will the shift in the risk in baking sector influence the same metric in manufacturing, consumer sector, real estate market? How will government policy implementation or targeting of specific macroeconomic indicators cascade into every little element of the economy (not only of national economy but potentially global economy).

Basel III became an important cornerstone in the development of macroprudential regulation. The new standards mandate the implementation of preventative and proactive analysis in the banking sector as well as facilitate creation of a number of important regulatory tools.

There are many other issues that financial leaders have to overcome while implementing regulatory policies, one of them being “leakage” of intended effect. This effect occurs more and more often as global financial system becomes more interconnected and the regulatory subordination grows more opaque. As an example, regulatory norms directed at national banking system would not always spread onto financial institutions that are regulated by foreign structures. Thus these “unregulated” parties will diminish the intended effect of a specific macroprudential program. Such specificity posed a serious problem during discussion of the interbank transaction fees that could potentially be levied on French banks. This leads to a point that

macroprudential regulatory policies would be coordinated between different governments, while taking into consideration national interests and regional/ global interdependencies. Basel III is expected to eliminate some of “leakages” through taking into considerations differences in national financial regulations. Political decision making, however, should act along the lines of financial regulatory measures.

Implementation of macroprudential regulation requires a set of tools that is thoroughly selected and approved amongst the international financial regulators. For now one of the most effective and generally accepted tools is countercyclical capital buffer. The tool is expected to prevent transitioning of the risk between corporate and public sector while at the same time distributing systemic risk over a much higher number of years. This tool has been tested in some European countries and proved to be effective as a way to mitigate the risks across multiple economic sectors (such as consumers; market, banking sector, manufacturing, services, real estate).

Macroprudential regulation is a result of a natural progression of economic theory in the direction of a more thoughtful and informed decision-making. Successful regulation, according to the new approach encourages proactive measures rather than de-facto reactive regulation. While some first steps in implementation of the new regulatory model have been made in many countries around the world the process will still be hampered by barriers of communication, political decision making and heterogeneity of financial systems.

*Katerina Piskun, II category specialist of the Department  
of post-graduate studies, Ukrainian Academy of Banking  
of National Bank of Ukraine, Ukraine*

## **STIMULATION OF THE BUSINESS SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AS A FACTOR IN THE REALIZATION OF THE STATE SOCIAL FUNCTION**

In the recent years, the issue of the corporate social responsibility has become extremely important for multinational companies and governments in many countries. In the 70ies and 80ies the public began to learn about the ghost towns, which died along with the enterprises located in them, the polluted rivers, accidents caused by the activities of enterprises. Increasingly, the role of a civilized society responsible to the future generations for the consequences of its activity is gaining in importance.

In Ukraine, socially responsible business is seen as a charity and a mechanism of social investments of the private sector. These functions are considered not as additional ones to the respective role of the state, but as a