

Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine
Sumy State University
Academic and Research Institute
of Business, Economics and Management

SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHALLENGES

Proceedings
of the International Scientific and Practical Conference

(Sumy, March 22-23, 2021)



Sumy
Sumy State University
2021

330.3:005(063)

S62

Editor-in-Chief

Prof., Dr. **Vasilyeva Tetyana**, Director of Academic and Research Institute of Business, Economics and Management, Sumy State University

*Approved by the Academic Council of Sumy State University
(protocol № 5, 26 June 2021)*

S62 Socio-Economic Challenges : Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference, Sumy, March 22–23, 2021 / edited by Prof., Dr. Vasilyeva Tetyana. – Sumy : Sumy State University, 2021. – 302 p.

Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference "Socio-Economic Challenges" are devoted to finding a systemic solution to multidisciplinary problems in the field of modern development, management, administration of various systems, corporate social responsibility, innovation management in various fields of environmental management.

For scientists, scientists, students, graduate students, representatives of business and public organizations and higher education institutions and a wide range of readers.

330.3:005(063)

© Sumy State University, 2021

REGIONAL ECONOMIC SECURITY AND THE FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION

*Nataliia Letunovska, Ph.D., Senior Lecturer
Daria Karpenko, Master's Student
Sumy State University, Ukraine*

Today, Ukraine is facing the problem of ensuring the security of economic entities' activities and the whole country due to unfavorable external and internal factors that have led to a significant undermining of its economy. Therefore, now there is a need for strengthening Ukraine's regional economic security.

The economic security system's main direction is creating a permanent economic development of the country and its regions. Economic security is a complex structure, so it has many subsystems to ensure the entire system's sustainable development as a whole. Economic security performs well-defined functions and assumes a significant functional load in the system of national security. The essence is that it is the material basis of national sovereignty, and it determines the real possibility of other types of security. In other words, economic security is the basis for the functioning of all other elements of the system (social, technological, food, ecological).

Ensuring a country's economic security means creating a self-protection system that automatically activates a mechanism for protecting it from specific threats. Economic security is a complex and multifaceted category. Through the analysis of the actual process and the understanding of the experience of adopting problems, the authors distinguished three crucial elements:

- economic independence, which, firstly, means the ability of the state to control national resources and use the competitive advantages of the country to enable equal participation in international trade;
- stability and sustainability of the national economy ensures the strength and reliability of all elements of the economic system, protects all forms of property, creates a guarantee of effective business development and restrains unstable factors;
- the ability for self-development and progress, i.e., ability to independently realize and protect national economic interests, carry out continuous modernization of production, adequate investment and innovation policy, and ability to develop knowledge and labor potential in the country and its regions.

At this stage, the main threats to Ukraine's economic security are declining GDP, low competitiveness of products, corruption, depreciation of fixed assets due to unbalanced territorial development, low living standards of many people. Corruption in Ukraine is the main obstacle to implementing the country's European

integration intentions. It is one of the main factors creating a negative country image.

Many experts reasonably point out that if it were possible to change the rules of conduct common to all by individual rules, corruption would disappear along with the legal norms because there would be no need to apply them. One of the most complex issues of organizing the fight against corruption is the question of how to balance preventive and punitive measures. The need to prioritize prevention over punishment for corruption is usually only declared. Most countries spend far more on prosecuting corruption than they do on preventing it. The idea that it is easier to prevent corruption than to be forced to punish it is valid only if there are unlimited resources. Preventing corruption by eliminating or mitigating its criminogenic factors costs far more than regular criminal repressive measures.

Today, Ukraine spends about 9 % of its annual budget on fighting crime. It is the money spent on solving and investigating about 3.5-3.9 million crimes a year. The prevalence of corruption depends mainly on their solvability, or, more precisely, on the inevitability of responsibility for them. The first and the second are not the same things. According to statistics, almost all of the revealed facts of bribery are disclosed. Punishment is imposed only in every fourth to the fifth case. The penalty is imposed in about one in 100 thousand cases of giving and taking bribes. The "funnel effect" as applied to other corruption offenses, probably looks even more striking. As a result, liability for corruption is an absolute utopia from a theoretical and practical point of view. Moreover, the legislation itself provides several grounds for non-application of punishment, even in cases of conviction. Ensuring the inevitability of responsibility for corruption requires the support of a critical level of punishability.

Many foreign countries' experience demonstrates that despite the strict control mechanisms and punitive measures envisaged by law, a significant part of civil servants, especially high-ranking ones, often manages to avoid responsibility for the offenses they have committed. Achieving a level of regional development where corruption is not a decisive factor hindering the development of investment projects, starting new businesses and implementing creative ideas for the development of territories is a goal that can be called local rehabilitation. Further prospects of the authors' research are forming a list of tools for regional rehabilitation, which, combined with improving the region's economic security, can provide a leap in regional life quality.

References:

Aljaloudi, J.A., Warrad, T.A. (2020). Economic Growth and the Optimal Size of the Public sector in Jordan. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 4(3), 72-79. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4\(3\).72-79.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4(3).72-79.2020).

Balas, A.N., & Kaya, H.D. (2019). The Global Economic Crisis And Retailers' Security Concerns: The Trends. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 3(2), 5-14. [http://doi.org/10.21272/sec.3\(2\).5-14.2019](http://doi.org/10.21272/sec.3(2).5-14.2019).

Bublyk, M., Koval, V., & Redkva, O. (2017). Analysis impact of the structural competition preconditions for ensuring economic security of the machine building complex. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, (4), 229-240. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2017.4-20>.

Chygryn, O. (2017). Green entrepreneurship: EU experience and Ukraine perspectives. *Centre for Studies in European Integration Working Papers Series*, (6), 6-13.

Dolgiy, A.A., Sidorenko A.N., Dolgiy A.A. (2014). Practical aspects of assessing the propensity of employees of revenue and collection agencies of Ukraine to corrupt deeds in the context of industries inherent in the relevant regions: report on research work. Irpin: Research Center on Taxation Problems of the NU STS of Ukraine.

Esmanov, O., & Dunne, P. (2017). Prior to the Financial Security through Control over the Use of Public Funds, Assessment Methodology and Practical Experience in Ukraine. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 1(3), 65-74. DOI: 10.21272/fmir.1(3).65-74.2017.

Gatsi, J.G. (2020). Effects of International and Internal Remittances on Financial Inclusion in Ghana. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 4(3), 109-123. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4\(3\).109-123.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4(3).109-123.2020).

Harust, Yu., Melnyk, V. (2019). Economic Security of the Country: Marketing, Institutional and Political Determinants. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 4, 373-382. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2019.4-29>.

Juarez-Garcia, M.I. (2020). Personal Corruption & Corrupting Laws: Montesquieu's Twofold Theory of Corruption. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 4(4), 76-84. [https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4\(4\).76-83.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4(4).76-83.2020).

Kendiukhov, I., & Tvaronaviciene, M. (2017). Managing innovations in sustainable economic growth. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 3, 33-42. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2017.3-03>.

Kostyuk, A., Oleschuk, M., Ruban, O. (2010) Key issues of British reforms of corporate governance in financial crisis: the board issue. *Corporate Ownership and Control*. – Special Conference issue *The First Annual Online International Conference on Corporate Governance and Regulation in Banks*, Sumy, Ukraine, May 27-June 2, 6-16.

Letunovska N.Ye. (2014). Social infrastructure of industrial enterprise and its role in the system of employee motivation. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 1, 259-269.

Letunovska, N., Kwilinski, A., & Kaminska, B. (2020). *Scientific Research*

In The Health Tourism Market: A Systematic Literature Review. *Health Economics and Management Review*, 1, 8-19. <https://doi.org/10.21272/hem.2020.1-01>.

Lev, A.V. (2015). Economic security in the context of globalization. *Global and national problems of economy*, 6, 190-193.

Levchenko, V., Kobzieva, T., Boiko, A., & Shlapko, T. (2018). Innovations in Assessing the Efficiency of the Instruments for the National Economy De-Shadowing: the State Management Aspect. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 4, 361-371. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2018.4-31>.

Lyulyov, O.V., & Pimonenko, T.V. (2017). Lotka-Volterra model as an instrument of the investment and innovative processes stability analysis. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, (1), 159-169. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2017.1-14>.

Milova, T., Troshkina, K., Horlov, Y. & Dobkowski, J. (2019). Country's Brand and Corruption Level: Cointegration Analysis. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 3, 366-373. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2019.3-28>.

Mujtaba, B.G., McClelland, B., Williamson, P., Khanfar, N., & Cavico, F.J. (2018). An Analysis of the Relationship between Regulatory Control and Corruption based on Product and Market Regulation and Corruption Perceptions Indices. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 2(3), 6-20. DOI: 10.21272/bel.2(3).6-20.2018.

Obeid, H., Hillani, F., Fakih, R., & Mozannar, K. (2020). Artificial Intelligence: Serving American Security and Chinese Ambitions. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 4(3), 42-52. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4\(3\).42-52.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4(3).42-52.2020).

Omelyanenko, V. A. (2016). Innovation priorities optimization in the context of national technological security ensuring. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, (4), 226-234.

Rahmanov, F., Aliyeva, R., Rosokhata, A., & Letunovska, N. (2020). Tourism Management in Azerbaijan Under Sustainable Development: Impact of COVID-19. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 3, 195-207. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2020.3-14>.

Rosokhata, A., Saher, I., Stoyanets N., & Butrym, O. (2020). Impact of highly qualified personnels migration on economic and innovative development. 35th IBIMA Conference: 1-2 April 2020, Seville, Spain.

Sadiq, W.A. (2020). Influence of Leadership Practices on Organizational Safety Performance. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 4(2), 41-55. [https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4\(2\).41-55.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4(2).41-55.2020).

Sineviciene, L., Shkarupa, O., & Sysoyeva, L. (2018). Socio-economic and Political Channels for Promoting Innovation as a Basis for Increasing the Economic Security of the State: Comparison of Ukraine and the Countries of the European Union. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 2(2), 81-93. DOI: 10.21272/sec.2(2).81-93.2018.

Subbot, A.I. (2014). Ensuring the safety of law enforcement officers from corruption attacks. *Journal of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine "Veche"*, 12., 27-29.

Syhyda, L.O., Saher, L.Yu., & Gryshova, I. (2018). Current state and prospects for the development of innovative activity of industrial enterprises in Ukraine and the world. Illiashenko, S.M., Strielkowski, W. (eds.). *Innovative Management: theoretical, methodical and applied grounds*. 1st edition, Prague Institute for Qualification Enhancement: Prague, P. 83-96.

Sysoyeva, L., & Kleinschmidt, H. (2017). Corruption and migration policy. EU crisis management revisited *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 1(1), 48-53. <http://doi.org/10.21272/sec.2017.1-05>.

Teletov, A., Letunovska, N., & Melnyk, Yu. (2019). Four-vector efficiency of infrastructure in the system of providing regional socially significant needs taking into account the concept of marketing of changes. *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications*, 12(3) (July-Sep 2019), 637-645.

Tielietov, O.S., & Letunovska, N.Y. Organizational and economic mechanism of industrial enterprises social infrastructure management. *Actual problems of economy*, 10(160), 329-337.

Vasylieva, T., Harust, Yu., Vynnychenko, N., & Vysochyna, A. (2018). Optimization of the financial decentralization level as an instrument for the country's innovative economic development regulation. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 4, 381-390. <http://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2018.4-33>.

Yarovenko, H., Kuzmenko, O., & Stumpo, M. (2020). DEA-Analysis Of The Effectiveness Of The Country's Information Security System. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 4(3), 142-153. [https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4\(3\).142-153.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4(3).142-153.2020).

Yarovenko, H., Kuzmenko, O., & Stumpo, M. (2020). Strategy for Determining Country Ranking by Level of Cybersecurity. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks*, 4(3), 124-137. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4\(3\).124-137.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.4(3).124-137.2020).

Yelnikova, Yu., & Kuzior, A. (2020). Overcoming The Socio-Economic Consequences Of Military Conflict in Ukraine And The Impact Investment Of Post-Conflict Recovery Of Anti-Terrorist Operation. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 4(3), 132-142. [https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4\(3\).132-142.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4(3).132-142.2020).

Ziabina, Ye., Pimonenko, T., & Starchenko, L. (2020). Energy Efficiency Of National Economy: Social, Economic And Ecological Indicators. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 4(4), 160-174. [https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4\(4\).160-174.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/sec.4(4).160-174.2020).

Zolkover, A., & Terziev, V. (2020). The Shadow Economy: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 4(3), 107-118. [https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4\(3\).107-118.2020](https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.4(3).107-118.2020).

Letunovska N., Karpenko D. Regional economic security and the fight against corruption. Socio-economic challenges : Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference, Sumy, March 22-23 2021 / edited by Prof. Dr. T. Vasilyeva. – Sumy : Sumy State University, 2021. – P. 252-256.