JEL Classification: M31, M39, M19



https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2022.2-08

Ludovic Nastisin,

Ph.D., Associate Professor, University of Presov, Slovakia

ORCID ID, 0000-0002-4403-7580

email: <u>ludovit.nastisin@unipo.sk</u>

Anton Jura,

Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia

email: anton.jura@tuke.sk Richard Fedorko,

Ph.D., Associate Professor, University of Presov, Slovakia

ORCID ID, 0000-0003-3520-1921 email: richard.fedorko@unipo.sk

Ivan Kopor,

University of Presov, Slovakia email: ivan.kopor@smail.unipo.sk

Correspondence author: <u>ludovit.nastisin@unipo.sk</u>

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE PERCEPTION OF MOTIVATIONS FOR CONSUMER'S ONLINE BRAND-RELATED ACTIVITIES AND THEIR IMPACTS ON INSTAGRAM

Abstract. The paper assessed the issue of gender differences in the perception of individual types of COBRAs (Consumers' Online Brand Related Activities) and the associated motivations (information, entertainment, social interaction, and remuneration) for these activities on the social network Instagram. The paper analyzed data gathered from questionnaires (a sample of 401 respondents) that had been spread online via computer-assisted web interviewing. Gender differences were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Seven research hypotheses were formulated concerning the literature review and the current state of research in this area. Based on the results, it could be stated that four of them are statistically significant. Specifically, the study observed gender differences in the perception of three of the four analyzed motivations as latent variables. The study verified gender differences in the perception of the issue in the case of information motives, motives of entertainment, and motives of social interaction. Still, the motive of remuneration did not show sufficient statistical significance. In the case of the three analyzed consumers' online brand-related activities as latent variables, the authors observed only one case of statistical significance of the gender difference in the case of consumption activity, while neither contributing nor creating as activities showed statistical significance. At the level of manifest variables, the paper observed two cases of statistical significance of the factors related to the contributing activity. However, that was insufficient to confirm this activity's significance as a latent variable. A significance level of 0.05 was proposed. The findings were presented in the context of existing research with a pointed future direction of the research in this area, which lies mainly in extending the analysis to other social networking platforms, other markets, and a narrower demographic perspective in the sample for a deeper understanding of the phenomena studied.

Keywords: Instagram, consumer, brand-related activities, gender differences, analysis.

Introduction. From a marketing point of view, the social network Instagram is a channel on which open mutual connections are created between the business sector and customers (Instagram users). Many companies use this platform in their marketing mix to expand their customer base and improve their brand experience. This platform is a tool for increasing the number of business transactions or other relevant business KPIs. However, this is possible only if the management of this platform is done right. (Nica et al. 2019).

Cite as: Nastisin, L., Jura, A., Fedorko, R., & Kopor, I (2022). Gender Differences in the Perception of Motivations for Consumer's Online Brand-Related Activities and Their Impacts on Instagram. Marketing and Management of Innovations, 2, 86-93. https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2022.2-08

Received: 20 December 2021

Accepted: 22 June 2022



86

Published: 30 June 2022

A properly managed Instagram account could help a brand be more up-to-date and accessible to its customers. The better the brand manages its Instagram account, the higher the level of engagement in the form of the selected type of COBRAs it could expect. These activities are of great importance for the brand operating in the online space. If the brand wants to take full advantage of this, it needs to understand the motives for these activities and how to engage men and women.

Given Instagram's marketing potential and the need to know gender differences to understand motives and COBRAs properly, these requirements were analyzed to identify statistically significant gender differences in the perception of COBRAs and differing motives regarding Instagram.

Literature Review. Technology and innovation are needed in every field today. They lead the global society toward its goals (Hudakova et al., 2019; Urbancova et al., 2020; Turisova et al., 2021; Straka et al., 2017; Rajbhandari et al., 2022; Petruf et al., 2015; Ivankova et al., 2021). Instagram is one of the world's largest and most popular social networks. Thanks to its focus on visual content, it represents an excellent opportunity for the world of marketing. Many enterprises also use such social media platforms for marketing purposes (Civelek et al., 2020; Vasanicova et al., 2021). Therefore, they could be recognized in international markets. This fact also makes them competitive against their rivals and achieve higher export volumes (Civelek and Krajcik, 2022; Kljucnikov et al., 2022). These innovative channels do not only develop financial conditions of businesses but also improve local economic conditions (Civelek et al., 2021; Kljucnikov, 2020a; Kljucnikov, 2020b; Kolkova and Kljucnikov, 2021; Kljucnikov et al., 2021).

At the end of 2020, Instagram had 1.16 billion active users per month and 500 million active users per day (Kemp 2020; Kalinova and Neubergova 2021). It is estimated that 51% of users are women and 49% are men (Kemp, 2020), and up to 70% of users are under 35 (Kemp,2020). 39% of brands spend more than 20% of their marketing budget on influencer marketing (Geyser, 2020). Instagram is estimated to have generated \$ 20 billion in advertising revenue in 2019, while Facebook brought \$ 70 billion in total advertising revenue (Bloomberg, 2020). The average time users spend on the platform is 8.48 minutes, and the number of pages visited on the platform is 9.71 (Alexa, 2021b). Approximately 100 million posts are added to the platform every 24 hours (71.9% are photos, 14.2% are videos, and 13.9% are carousels) (SMPerth, 2020). The «like» button is used 4.2 times daily (SMPerth, 2020).

For a social network user to interact with the brand, certain activities are needed, which require different levels of user involvement (Gavurova et al., 2020a; Gavurova and Kubak, 2021). In terms of online social media, these are classified under the COBRA concept (Consumers' Online Brand Related Activities), which has three levels. The first and easiest to achieve is the content consumption activity, followed by the content contributing activity, and, finally, the most valuable content creation activity (Schivinski, 2021). Research on this issue is based on marketing engagement theory and has both an emotional and cognitive dimension (Hollebeek, 2014; Olah et al., 2021). This model combines brand-related customer behavior (Muntinga et al., 2011). It covers both external and internal stakeholders' perceptions of the brand (Grebosz-Krawczyk, 2019; Samoliuk et al., 2022; Shava, 2021), enhancing entrepreneurs to develop their value propositions and find new channels of communication.

Bartos et al. (2021) provided an interesting study. Content consumption is the most passive of all three, and the brand engagement rate is low. The same information was presented by Dias et al. (2020). Content contribution requires a certain degree of user interaction with the brand's content or other users. Content creation is the most engaging activity which may be further developed into other forms of interaction (Hautz et al., 2014; Schivinski and Dabrowski, 2016; Bruhn et al., 2012; Birknerova et al., 2016).

The motivations encouraging content engagement on social media platforms have been studied under the UGT-based constructs (Uses and Gratification Theory). There are currently four main motivations for working in social media: entertainment (de Vries et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2011), social interaction (Muntinga et al., 2011; Kucera and Smolkova, 2022), information (Pletikosa et al., 2013; de Vries et al., 2014) and the desire for remuneration (Lee et al., 2013).

Methodology and research methods. The study examines gender differences in attitudes towards perceptions of motivations (information, entertainment, social interaction, and remuneration) and consumers' online brand-related activities (COBRAs). Their classification is based on that by Piehler et al. (2019). The research goals are as follows: to identify statistically significant gender differences in the perception of COBRAs and their motivations on the social network Instagram. Given the research goal, the following hypotheses were proposed:

- H1: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given their perception of selected factors of the information motive in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H2: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given the perception of selected factors of the entertainment motive in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H3: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given the perception of selected factors of the social interaction motive in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H4: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given the perception of selected factors of the remuneration motive in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H5: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given the perception of selected factors of the content consumption in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H6: There is a statistically significant difference in genders given the perception of selected factors of the content contribution in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.
- H7: There is a statistically significant gender difference in the perception of selected COBRAs factors at the level of content creation in terms of COBRAs on Instagram.

The analysis worked with a sample of 401 respondents, who were asked to fill out a standardized questionnaire (Piehler et al., 2019) addressing their attitudes to the issue. Data were collected during the first six months of 2021 using the CAWI method. The questionnaire was based on a 5-point Likert scale. Factors defining COBRAs were originally formulated in several studies (Muntinga et al., 2011; Men and Tsai, 2013; Azar et al., 2016), much like the factors defining the motives studied (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2011; Ko et al., 2005).

To meet the set goal, the gender differences in the perception of the issue were identified based on the analytical data processing using the Mann-Whitney U test. The underlying idea was that the individual variables do not have to correspond to the Gaussian normal distribution at all times and that it is assumed that they are continuous. The significance level was set at 0.05.




Figure 1. Research construct

Sources: developed by the authors.

Results. Following the analysis of Instagram, several statistically significant differences in the perception of the issue between men and women were observed. In total, there were 25 variables analysed, for which differences were assessed. Table 1 shows the results.

Table 1. Gender differences in the perception of manifest variables

Manifest Variables	Mann-Whitney U	Z	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
IG information 1	13048.000	-3.503	0.000
IG information 2	13903.000	-2.650	0.008
IG information 3	12997.500	-3.570	0.000
IG entertainment 1	13702.500	-2.901	0.004
IG entertainment 2	13772.500	-2.365	0.018
IG _entertainment_3	14405.500	-2.278	0.023
IG _entertainment_4	13453.500	-2.941	0.003
IG _social_interaction_1	13950.500	-2.305	0.021
IG _social_interaction_2	14692.000	-1.731	0.083
IG _social_interaction_3	13477.500	-3.091	0.002
IG _remuneration_1	14511.500	-1.777	0.075
IG _remuneration_2	16096.500	-0.141	0.888
IG _remuneration_3	15959.000	-0.416	0.677
IG _consuming_1	12963.000	-3.531	0.000
IG _consuming_2	11842.000	-4.695	0.000
IG _consuming_3	12697.000	-3.839	0.000
IG _contributing_1	13075.500	-3.116	0.002
IG _contributing_2	13543.000	-2.859	0.004
IG _contributing_3	16544.000	-0.025	0.980
IG _contributing_4	16495.500	-0.138	0.890
IG _contributing_5	16152.000	-0.298	0.765
IG _contributing_6	15702.000	-0.492	0.623
IG _creating_1	16343.000	-0.161	0.872
IG _creating_2	14764.500	-1.558	0.119
IG _creating_3	15409.500	-1.253	0.210

Sources: developed by the authors.

Up to 14 significant gender differences were observed. These are all cases of information motivation, namely IG_information_1 the value $\overline{x}=3.49$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) was observed in men and $\overline{x}=3.93$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in women, subsequently IG_information_2 reached $\overline{x}=3$ in men, 27 ($\overline{x}=3.00$) and in women $\overline{x}=3.60$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) and the last information variable IG_information_3 reached $\overline{x}=3.30$ ($\overline{x}=3.00$) in men and $\overline{x}=3.70$ in women ($\overline{x}=4.00$). The motivation of entertainment reached different significance in all variables. In IG_entertainment_1 the value $\overline{x}=3.62$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) was observed in men and $\overline{x}=3.98$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in women, followed by IG_entertainment_2 which reached the value $\overline{x}=3.53$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in men and for women $\overline{x}=3.83$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$), then IG_entertainment_3 showed the value $\overline{x}=3.51$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in men and $\overline{x}=3.79$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in women and IG_entertainment_4 $\overline{x}=3.36$ ($\overline{x}=3.00$) in men and $\overline{x}=3.72$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in women. Two significant differences were observed in social interaction, namely in IG_social_interaction_1 with values of $\overline{x}=3.25$ ($\overline{x}=3.00$) in men and $\overline{x}=3.53$ ($\overline{x}=4.00$) in women. The second was IG_social_interaction_3 with values $\overline{x}=2.94$ ($\overline{x}=3.00$) in men and $\overline{x}=3.33$ ($\overline{x}=3.00$) in women.

With regard to content consumption activity, all variables were significant. In IG_consuming_1, values of $\overline{x} = 3.16$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) were observed in men and $\overline{x} = 3.61$ ($\overline{x} = 4.00$) in women, followed by IG_consuming_2 with values of $\overline{x} = 3.33$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) in men and $\overline{x} = 3.93$ ($\overline{x} = 4.00$) in women and in IG_consuming_3 values $\overline{x} = 3.22$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) in men and $\overline{x} = 3.70$ ($\overline{x} = 4.00$) in women were observed. The last area where significant differences detected were the activities contributing to the brand content. These were IG_contributing_1

with values $\overline{x} = 3.22$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) in men and $\overline{x} = 3.67$ ($\overline{x} = 4.00$) in women and IG_contributing_2 with values $\overline{x} = 2.65$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) in men and $\overline{x} = 3.11$ ($\overline{x} = 3.00$) in women. In all the observed significant gender differences, the women rated the variables examined with a greater degree of agreement than men. No variable was rated with greater degree of agreement by men. Other differences did not show statistical significance.

Table 2. Gender differences in the perception of latent variables

Manifest Variables	Mann-Whitney U	Z	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	
Information motivation	12938.500	-3.631	0.000	
Entertainment motivation	20939.500	-2.997	0.003	
Social interaction motivation	13969.500	-2.627	0.009	
Remuneration motivation	15703.000	-0.956	0.339	
Consuming activity	11862.000	-4.664	0.000	
Contributing activity	15448.000	-1.317	0.188	
Creating activity	16518.500	-0.173	0.863	

Sources: developed by the authors.

The analysis also focused on motivations and activities at the level of seven latent variables. These are presented in the table above. The research identified four statistically significant variables. These were, however, perceived differently by men and women. Their attitudes were partially presented in the previous section, and on their basis, the study adopted four research hypotheses presented in the previous section.

Thus, there is a considerable degree of difference in attitudes on Instagram. In all cases, without exception, the women were in agreement with the arguments examined much more. The results could be supported by the demographic composition of Instagram users, where women dominate (57%) (Statista, 2021). Karatsoli and Nathanail (2020) also reported the observed differences. They pointed out that visually dominant content (photos and images), the essence of the social network Instagram, is preferred more by women than men. Even in the case of the motivation for carrying out brand-related activities. women gave more positive evaluation. The success of Instagram is largely dependent on the aesthetics and visual appeal of the published content, and this is what attracts women to this network in larger numbers (Brahmadas, 2019). Another parallel could be seen in the fact that women use social networks on a daily basis more frequently than men - Instagram is well-known for its chronological representation of content. Men agreed with the proposed questionnaire item less because they prefer to use desktop devices and laptops to visit social networks (Lounge, 2015). It should be noted, however, that Instagram's graphical interface is primarily optimized for mobile devices and the user experience via other devices is less attractive. The presented results could be applied at the business level to improve the market position of enterprises through the use of modern technologies (Chebli et al., 2021; Hassan and Lee, 2021; Koľvekova et al., 2019; Kutlu and Ayyildiz, 2021; Mwatsika, 2021; Pereira-Moliner et al., 2021; Petrakova et al., 2021). Besides, the research framework could be applied in other areas (Gavurova et al., 2020a; Gavurova et al., 2020b; Gavurova et al., 2021).

Conclusions. This paper analysed gender differences in attitudes to selected areas related to the social network Instagram. Seven research hypotheses were established. The hypotheses aimed to identify statistically significant gender differences in the perception of COBRAs and motives on the social network Instagram. These were confirmed in the three cases of motives and one case of COBRA activity. The results were discussed in the context of existing research. Based on this, the set goal is considered achieved. Therefore, it could be stated that marketing strategies (also those carried out on Instagram) should not be neglected. The research could be expanded to include other social networks, where differences in the context of other demographic segmentation could be assessed. The need for such

research is and will be relevant, as Instagram is still one of the largest social networks in the world and is very fast in attracting new users.

Author Contributions: conceptualization, L. N. and A. J.; methodology, L. N.; software, R. F.; validation, L. N. and R. F.; formal analysis, A. J.; investigation, L. N.; resources, R. F. and A. J.; data curation, L. N. and R. F.; writing-original draft preparation, L. N. and I. K.; writing-review and editing, R. F.; visualization, L. N.; supervision, A. J.; project administration, L. N.; funding acquisition, R. F.

Funding: This research was funded by VEGA 1/0488/22: Research in digital marketing in the field of tourism with an emphasis on the principles of sustainability in a post-pandemic market environment; and VEGA 1/0694/20: Relational marketing research - perception of e-commerce aspects and its impact on purchasing behaviour and consumer preferences; and VEGA: 1/0590/22: Exploration of natural, social and economic potential of areas with environmental burdens in the Slovak Republic for the development of specific forms of domestic tourism and quantification of environmental risks.

References

Azar, S. L., Machado, J. C., Vacas-de-Carvalho, L., & Mendes, A. (2016). Motivations to interact with brands on Facebook–Towards a typology of consumer-brand interactions. *Journal of Brand Management*, 23(2), 153-178. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] Bartoš, V., Vochozka, M., & Janikova, J. (2021). Fair value in squeeze-out of large mining companies. *Acta Montanistica*

Bartos, V., Vochozka, M., & Janikova, J. (2021). Fair value in squeeze-out of large mining companies. *Acta Montanistica Slovaca*, 26(4). [Google Scholar]

Birknerova, Z., Frankovsky, M., Zbihlejova, L., & Birkner, M. (2016). Assessment of coaching as an effective managerial tool. In 3rd International Multidisciplinary Scientific Conference on Social Sciences and Arts SGEM 2016 (pp. 577-584). [Google Scholar] Bloomberg (2020). Instagram generates more than a quarter of Facebook's sales. Retrieved from [Link]

Brahmadas. (2019). Top Reasons Why Women Use Instagram the Most. Retrieved from [Link]

Bruhn, M., Schoenmueller, V., & Schäfer, D. B. (2012). Are social media replacing traditional media in terms of brand equity creation?. *Management Research Review*, 35(9), 770. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Civelek, M., Gajdka, K., Světlík, J., & Vavrecka, V. (2020). Differences in the usage of online marketing and social media tools: evidence from Czech, Slovakian and Hungarian SMEs. *Equilibrium. Quarterly Journal of Economics and Economic Policy*, 15(3), 537-563. [Google Scholar]

Civelek, M., Kljucnikov, A., Fialova, V., Folvarcna, A., & Stoch, M. (2021). How innovativeness of family-owned SMEs differ depending on their characteristics? *Equilibrium. Quarterly Journal of Economics and Economic Policy*, 16(2), 413-428. [Google Scholar]

Civelek, M., & Krajcík, V. (2022). How do SMEs from different countries perceive export impediments depending on their firm-level characteristics? System approach. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 13(1), 55-78. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Chebli, A., Kadri, B., Ben Said, F.(2021). Promotion of Domestic Tourism by Enhancing the Practice of Alternative Tourism as a Quality Measure to Satisfy and Retain National Tourists. Journal of Tourism and Services, 23 (12), 61-85. Journal of Tourism and Services, 12(23), 61-85. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Gavurova, B., Ivankova, V., Rigelsky, M., & Kmecova, I. (2020a). How do gender inequalities in health relate to the competitiveness of developed countries? An empirical study. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 12(3), 99. [Google Scholar]

Gavurova, B., Belas, J., Bilan, Y., & Horak, J. (2020b). Study of legislative and administrative obstacles to SMEs business in the Czech Republic and Slovakia. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 11(4), 689-719. [Google Scholar]

Gavurova, B., & Kubak, M. (2021). The efficiency evaluation of public procurement of medical equipment. *Entrepreneurship* and Sustainability Issues, 8(3), 193-209. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Gavurova, B., Ivankova, V., Rigelsky, M., & Suler, P. (2021). Gender health inequalities and economic productivity in OECD countries. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 34(1), 2853-2872. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Geyser, W. (2020). The State of Influencer Marketing 2020: Benchmark Report. Retrieved from [Link]

Grebosz-Krawczyk, M. (2019). Attitudes of young consumers towards international nostalgic brands—the comparative study. *Economics and Sociology*, 12(3), 181-191. [Google Scholar]

Hassan, M., & Lee, G.(2021). Online payment options and consumer trust: determinants of e-commerce in Africa. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge, 9 (2), 1-13. <u>[Google Scholar]</u> [CrossRef]

Hautz, J., Füller, J., Hutter, K., & Thürridl, C. (2014). Let users generate your video ads? The impact of video source and quality on consumers' perceptions and intended behaviors. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(1), 1-15. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Hirschman, E. C., & Holbrook, M. B. (1982). Hedonic consumption: emerging concepts, methods and propositions. *Journal of Marketing*, 46(3), 92-101. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Hollebeek, L. D. (2011). Demystifying customer brand engagement: Exploring the loyalty nexus. Journal of marketing

management, 27(7-8), 785-807. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Hudakova, M., Urbancova, H., & Vnouckova, L. (2019). Key Criteria and Competencies Defining the Sustainability of Start-Up Teams and Projects in the Incubation and Acceleration Phase. Sustainability, 11(23), 6720. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Ivankova, V., Gavurova, B., Bacík, R., & Rigelský, M. (2021). Relationships between road transport infrastructure and tourism spending: A development approach in European OECD countries. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 9(2), 535. [Google Scholar]

Kalinova, E., & Neubergova, A. (2021). Influencer communication on the social network Instagram. Ad Alta: Journal of Interdisciplinary Research. 11(2). [Google Scholar]

Karatsoli, M., & Nathanail, E. (2020). Examining gender differences of social media use for activity planning and travel choices. European Transport Research Review, 12(1), 1-9. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Kemp, S. (2021). Digital 2020: October Global Statshot. DataReportal – Global Digital Insights. Retrieved from [Link]

Ko, H., Cho, C. H., & Roberts, M. S. (2005). Internet uses and gratifications: A structural equation model of interactive advertising. *Journal of advertising*, 34(2), 57-70. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Koľvekova, G., Liptakova, Ē., Štrba, Ľ., Kršak, B., Sidor, C., Cehlar, M., ... & Behún, M. (2019). Regional tourism clustering based on the three Ps of the sustainability services marketing matrix: An example of central and eastern European countries. Sustainability, 11(2), 400. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Kucera, J., & Smolkova, M. (2022). Online communication within a company: Case study of small company. *Littera Scripta*, 15(1), 34-56

Kutlu, D., Ayyildiz, H..(2021). The Role of the Destination Image in Creating Memorable Tourism Experience. Journal of Tourism and Services, 23 (12), 199-216. Journal of Tourism and Services, 12(23), 199-216. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Lee, D., Hosanagar, K., & Nair, H. S. (2018). Advertising content and consumer engagement on social media: Evidence from Facebook. *Management Science*, 64(11), 5105-5131. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Lounge. (2016). 6 Reasons Why Women are Driving Social Media Growth. Retrieved from [Link]

Men, L. R., & Tsai, W. H. S. (2013). Beyond liking or following: Understanding public engagement on social networking sites in China. *Public Relations Review*, 39(1), 13-22. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Muntinga, D. G., Moorman, M., & Smit, E. G. (2011). Introducing COBRAs: Exploring motivations for brand-related social media use. *International Journal of advertising*, 30(1), 13-46. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Mwatsika, C.(2021). Perceptions of entrepreneurship in Malawi: A country context understanding of entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge*, 9 (2), 49-62. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Nica, E., Gajanova, L., & Kicova, E. (2019). Customer segmentation based on psychographic and demographic aspects as a determinant of customer targeting in the online environment. *Littera Scripta*, 108. [Google Scholar]

Olah, J., Hidayat, Y. A., Gavurova, B., Khan, M. A., & Popp, J. (2021). Trust levels within categories of information and communication technology companies. *Plos one*, 16(6), e0252773. [Google Scholar]

Pereira-Moliner, J., López-Gamero, MD, Font, X., Molina-Azorín, JF, Tarí, JJ & Pertusa-Ortega, EM (2021). Sustainability, Competitive Advantages and Performance in the Hotel Industry: A Synergistic Relationship. Journal of Tourism and Services, 23 (12), 132-149. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Petrakova, Z., Okreglicka, M, Manak, R., & Fialova, V. (2021). Generation disparities on the perception of SMEs business risks. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge, 9 (2), 32-48. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Petruf, M., Korba, P., & Kolesar, J. (2015). Roles of logistics in air transportation. NAŠE MORE: znanstveni casopis za more i pomorstvo, 62(3 Special Issue), 215-218. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Piehler, R., Schade, M., Kleine-Kalmer, B., & Burmann, C. (2019). Consumers' online brand-related activities (COBRAs) on SNS brand pages: An investigation of consuming, contributing and creating behaviours of SNS brand page followers. *European Journal of Marketing*. [Google Scholar] [Crossref]

Pletikosa Cvijikj, I., & Michahelles, F. (2013). Online engagement factors on Facebook brand pages. Social network analysis and mining, 3(4), 843-861. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Rajbhandari, Y., Marahatta, A., Shrestha, A., Gachhadar, A., Thapa, A., Gonzalez-Longatt, F., ... & Korba, P. (2022). Load prioritization technique to guarantee the continuous electric supply for essential loads in rural microgrids. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 134, 107398. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Dias, R., Teixeira, N., Machova, V., Pardal, P., Horak, J., & Vochozka, M. (2020). Random walks and market efficiency tests: evidence on US, Chinese and European capital markets within the context of the global Covid-19 pandemic. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 11(4), 585-608. [Google Scholar]

Rumanko, B., Kozakova, J., Urbanova, M., & Hudakova, M. (2021). Family Business as a Bearer of Social Sustainability in Multinationals-Case of Slovakia. Sustainability, 13(14), 7747. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Samoliuk, N., Bilan, Y., Mishchuk, H., & Mishchuk, V. (2022). Employer brand: key values influencing the intention to join a company. *Management & Marketing*, 17(1), 61-72. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Schivinski, B. (2021). Eliciting brand-related social media engagement: A conditional inference tree framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 130, 594-602. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Schivinski, B., & Dabrowski, D. (2016). The effect of social media communication on consumer perceptions of brands. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 22(2), 189-214. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

L., Nastisin, A., Jura, R., Fedorko, I., Kopor. Gender Differences in the Perception of Motivations for Consumer's Online Brand-Related Activities and Their Impacts on Instagram

Shava, H. (2021). The relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction in the South African mobile network telecommunications industry. *Journal of International Studies*, 14(2), 70-83. [Google Scholar]

SMPerth. (2021). Facts & Figures. Instagram Statistics for 2022. Retrieved from [Link]

Straka, M., Khouri, S., Besta, P., & Drevko, S. (2017). Development of computer simulation and its use for the needs of logistics. Proceedings of Carpathian Logistics Congress (CLC), 86-92.

Statista. (2021). Instagram User Share in the United States 2021, by Gender. Retrieved from [Link]

Taylor, D. G., Lewin, J. E., & Strutton, D. (2011). Friends, fans, and followers: do ads work on social networks?: how gender and age shape receptivity. *Journal of advertising research*, 51(1), 258-275. [Google Scholar]

Turisova, R., Pacaiova, H., Kotianova, Z., Nagyova, A., Hovanec, M., & Korba, P. (2021). Evaluation of eMaintenance Application Based on the New Version of the EFQM Model. Sustainability, 13(7), 3682. [Google Scholar] [Google Scholar]

Urbancova, H., Hudakova, M., & Fajcíkova, A. (2020). Diversity management as a tool of sustainability of competitive advantage. Sustainability, 12(12), 5020. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Vasanicova, P., Jencova, S., Gavurova, B., & Bacik, R. (2021). Factors influencing business environment within travel and tourism competitiveness. *Economics & Sociology*, 14(4), 268-282. [Google Scholar]

De Vries, N. J., & Carlson, J. (2014). Examining the drivers and brand performance implications of customer engagement with brands in the social media environment. *Journal of Brand Management*, 21(6), 495-515. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Людовіт Настісін, Ph.D, доцент, Пряшівський університет в Пряшеві, Словаччина

Антон Юра, Технічний університет в Кошице, Словаччина

Річард Федорко, PhD., доцент, Пряшівський університет в Пряшеві, Словаччина

Іван Копор, Пряшівський університет в Пряшеві, Словаччина

Формування лояльності споживачів до онлайн-бренду в Інстаграм: гендерні аспекти

Ця стаття узагальнює аргументи та контраргументи в межах наукової дискусії з питання ролі гендерних відмінностей при формування лояльності споживачів до онлайн-бренду в Інстаграм. Основною метою проведеного дослідження є аналіз сприйнятті бренд-орієнтованої онлайн-діяльності компаній у соціальній мережі Instagram. Вихідні данні дослідження були сформовані на основі аналізу результатів онлайн-анкетування 401 респондента. Для аналізу гендерних відмінностей було застосовано U-критерій Манна-Уітні. За результатами теоретичного аналізу наукових напрацювань з означеної тематики, авторами було сформульовано сім дослідницьких гіпотез. Емпіричні результати дослідження засвідчили, що чотири із зазначених вище гіпотез були статистично значущими на рівні 5%. У ході дослідження виявлено статистично значущу різницю в відмінностях соціальних, інформаційних та розважальних мотивах взаємодії споживачів з брендами в Інстаграм залежно від гендерного фактора. При цьому різниця між мотивами винагороди за гендерним фактором при взаємодії споживачів із брендами в Інстаграм не є статистично значущою. Авторами встановлено, що лише одна із трьох брендорієнтованих онлайн-активностей споживачів (латентні змінні) мала статистично значущий вплив при формуванні їх лояльності до онлайн-бренду в Інстаграм залежно від гендерного фактора, а у випадку явних змінних дві з трьох мали статистично значущий вплив. Результати дослідження мають теоретичну цінність та доповнюють існуючу наукову дискусію з питання ролі гендерних відмінностей при формування лояльності споживачів до онлайн-бренду. Враховуючи отримані результати, авторами визначено обмеження дослідження з означеної тематики. Наголошено подальші напрямки дослідження з урахуванням аналізу соціальних мереж, інших каналів комунікації брендів та демографічних особливостей вибірки дослідження.

Ключові слова: Instagram, споживач, діяльність брендів, гендерні відмінності, аналіз.