

MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

Irina Bokun

Sumy Ukrainian Academy of Banking

Simplifying Materials

Many elements of a language course can be simplified, including the instructions and explanations that accompany exercises and activities, and even the visual layout of material so that it becomes easier to see how different parts fit together. It is worth noting in passing that teachers are sometimes on rather dangerous ground, if a wish to 'simplify' grammar or speech in the classroom leads to a distortion of natural language. For example, oversimplification of a grammatical explanation can be misleadingly one-sided or partial: to tell learners that adverbs are always formed by adding '-ly' does not help them when they come across 'friendly' or 'brotherly', nor does it explain why 'hardly' cannot be formed from 'hard'. A slow style of speech might result in the elimination of the correct intonation of sentences stress and weak forms, leaving learners with no exposure to the natural rhythms of spoken English.

However, the main application of this technique has been to texts, not often to reading passages. Traditionally, the emphasis has been on changing various sentence-bound elements to match the text more closely to the proficiency level of a particular group of learners. Thus, for instance, we can simplify according to:

a) *Sentence structure.* Sentences are reduced in overall length, or a complex sentence is re-written as a number of simpler ones, for example by the replacement of a relative pronoun by nouns and pronouns followed by a main verb.

b) *Lexical content,* so that the number of new vocabulary items is controlled by reference to what students have already learned.

c) *Grammatical structures.* For instance, passives are converted to actives; simple past tense to simple present; reported into direct speech.

These kinds of criteria form the basis of many of the published graded 'simplified readers' available for English language teaching.

Simplification has a number of further implications. Firstly, it is possible that any linguistic change, lexical or grammatical, will have a corresponding

157