JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES

Volume 9, Issue 2 (2022)

Javanbakht T. (2022). Analysis of nanoparticles characteristics with TOPSIS for their manufacture optimization, Vol. 9(2), pp. C1-C8, doi: 10.21272/jes.2022.9(2).c1



Javanbakht T.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of Physics, Concordia University, Richard J. Renaud Science Complex, 7141 Sherbrooke St. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H4B 1R6;

Department of Computer Science, University of Quebec in Montreal,

201 President Kennedy St., Montreal, Quebec H2X 3Y7, Canada

Article info: Submitted: Accepted for publication: Available online:

April 7, 2022 July 27, 2022 August 2, 2022 *Corresponding email: tjavanbakht@yahoo.ca

Abstract. The present study focuses on the comparative analysis of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) characteristics with the TOPSIS method. The prediction of the characteristics of SPIONs is required for better manufacturing of these nanoparticles. Although the characteristics of these nanoparticles have been investigated, no research has been done on their comparison in order to determine which one of their surface functionalities would be more appropriate for their diverse applications. The objective of this study was to analyze the characteristics of SPIONs without or with surface charge with a prediction model and TOPSIS in order to determine the best nanoparticles. Moreover, the effect of inappropriate consideration of their cost criterion on their ranks was explored with the modified TOPSIS. This analysis showed that the characteristics of SPIONs such as antibiofilm activity, hemocompatibility, activity with hydrogen peroxide, rheological properties, and the labour of their chemical synthesis could affect their ranking. Neutral SPIONs, negatively charged SPIONs, and positively charged SPIONs were ranked as the first, second, and third candidates, respectively. However, the improvement of the activity of positively charged SPIONs with hydrogen peroxide showed an increase to 0.3 instead of 0.2, which resulted in a better rank of these nanoparticles in comparison with that of the same nanoparticles in the first analysis series. One of the advantages of this study was to determine the impact of the characteristics of SPIONs on their ranking for their manufacturing. The other advantage was getting the information for further comparative study of these nanoparticles with the others. The results of this work can be used in manufacturing engineering and materials science.

Keywords: SPIONs, chemical activity, biological properties, rheological properties, TOPSIS, industrial growth, manufacturing engineering.

1 Introduction

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) have diverse applications such as magnetic drug targeting, magnetic hyperthermia, photocatalytic applications, etc. For most materials science and applications, these nanoparticles engineering are suspended in water [1–3]. The characteristics of SPIONs that were first studied in recent investigations have been as follows: antibiofilm activity, hemocompatibility, activity with hydrogen peroxide, rheological properties, and labour for their chemical synthesis in the lab [4–8].

The antibiofilm activity and hemocompatibility studies of SPIONs require the assessment of these nanoparticles with biological materials, which are bacterial biofilms and blood cells (ex.: red blood cells and platelets), respectively [4, 5, 9–14]. These analyses of SPIONs have allowed the development of their applications in materials science and biomedical engineering [15–20].

The Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) is a decision-making method that allows optimizing and ranking candidates. This method has been widely used to analyze candidates, such as electronic devices, cognitive entities, etc. Hwang and Yoon developed TOPSIS in 1981 to determine solutions from a finite set of alternatives [21, 22]. TOPSIS ranks candidates according to their distances from their ideal solutions with the consideration of their profit and cost criteria [21–26].

This paper considers these characteristics of SPIONs: antibiofilm activity, hemocompatibility, activity with hydrogen peroxide, rheological properties, and labour for



their chemical synthesis. The first four properties of these nanoparticles are positive because their increase is beneficial for their biomedical and engineering applications, whereas the last one is negative because less labour would be more appropriate in order to spend less time and energy on the chemical synthesis of these nanoparticles. Therefore, in this study, the first four indicated properties were considered as profit criteria and the last one as cost criterion.

TOPSIS has been used to analyze the properties of some materials and those of human beings according to their traits [27–32]. It has also been used to rank manufactured devices and instruments [33–38].

The analysis of the characteristics of SPIONs for optimizing their manufacture with TOPSIS has not been reported yet. This paper's results can be used to improve the applications of these nanoparticles in materials science and biomedical engineering.

2 Research Methodology

2.1 Preparation of SPIONs

The neutral, positively charged, and negatively charged SPIONs studied in this work were the nanoparticles prepared according to the protocols described in previous studies [4, 5, 6, 7, 39].

2.2 TOPSIS method

The TOPSIS code that Chakravorty developed in 2016 (https://github.com/Glitchfix/TOPSIS-

Python/blob/master/topsis.py) was used for this analysis.

The numerical analysis with TOPSIS was carried out on two groups of characteristics of SPIONs; positive and negative characteristics were considered profit and cost, respectively.

The steps of the TOPSIS method were as below [40–46]:

Step 1: Creation of a normalized decision matrix

The normalized R decision matrix was created in step 1 according to the formula below:

$$r_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}^{m} = 1^{x_{ij}^2}}}$$

Step 2: Creation of a weighted normalized decision matrix \boldsymbol{V}

This matrix was created using the formula below:

$$v_{ij} = w_j \cdot r_{ij}$$

Step 3: Determination of the positive ideal solution (A^+) and the negative ideal solution (A^-)

The positive ideal solution (A^+) and negative ideal solution (A^-) were calculated with the TOPSIS method as described previously [40, 41, 42].

Step 4: Calculation of the separation distance from the positive ideal solution S^+ and the other distance from the negative ideal solution S^- for each candidate

These distances were calculated using the formulas below:

$$\begin{split} D_{j}^{*} &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_{ij} - v_{i}^{*})^{2}}, \, j = 1, \dots, J. \\ D_{j}^{-} &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_{ij} - v_{i}^{-})^{2}}, \, j = 1, \dots, J. \end{split}$$

Step 5: Calculation of the similarity coefficients using the proximity relative to the ideal solution The candidates' similarity coefficients were calculated with the formula below:

$$C_j^* = \frac{D_j^-}{D_j^* + D_j^-}, j = 1, ..., J.$$

The ranking according to the value of closeness coefficient (C_j^*) was described previously [47–54].

2.3 Modification of TOPSIS

The TOPSIS code was modified with the formulas below according to the Lukasiewicz's type disjunction: self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-2][self.column_size-1]=self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-

2[self.column_size-1] + 0.6

self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-3][self.column_size-1]=self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-

3[self.column_size-1] + 0.6

if self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-2][self.column_size-1]>1:

self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-2][self.column_size-1]=1

if self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-

3][self.column_size-1]>1:

self.evaluation_matrix[self.row_size-3][self.column_size-1]=1

These lines added to the first step in the TOPSIS code will add the value of 0.6 to the mean values of the membership degrees of the properties of neutral and positively charged SPIONs in the last column (labour of chemical synthesis), the first and second rows of Table 1. As the previous values of these membership degrees were 0.4, their summation with 0.6 will give 1.0, and the maximal value according to Lukasiewicz's type disjunction is 1.0. Therefore, these modifications in the TOPSIS code will make the values of 1.0 for these membership degrees in Table 1.

C2

3 Results and Discussion

The results obtained in this study are included in the steps below:

1. Determine the mean values of triangular fuzzy membership degrees of candidates' characteristics.

The terms and corresponding triangular fuzzy values of the membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs and their mean values are shown in Table 1. The information about SPIONs as three candidates, C-1, C-2, and C-3, with their different characteristics, is presented in the table. Antibiofilm activity, hemocompatibility, activity with hydrogen peroxide, and rheological properties, which positively affect the candidates' properties as they reveal the efficiency of their activity, are profit criteria. The last one, the labour of their chemical synthesis, has a negative effect on this output as less labor for their manufacture is desired. So, this characteristic is considered a cost criterion. The mean values of fuzzy membership degrees of the candidates' characteristics according to the chosen terms (low, medium, or high) are indicated in the table.

Table 1 – Terms and their corresponding triangular fuzzy values of membership degrees of characteristics of SPIONs

and their mean values								
Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their			
criteria	activity		hydrogen peroxide	properties	chemical			
		-	_		synulesis			
C-1	medium	medium	low	high	medium			
C-2	medium	medium	low	medium	medium			
C-3	low	low	high	medium	high			

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of
criteria	activity		hydrogen	properties	their
			peroxide		chemical
					synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.3, 0.4, 0.5	0.4, 0.5, 0.6	0.2, 0.3, 0.4	0.7, 0.8, 0.9	0.3, 0.4, 0.5
pos- SPIONs	0.4, 0.5, 0.6	0.4, 0.5, 0.6	0.1, 0.2, 0.3	0.4, 0.5, 0.6	0.3, 0.4, 0.5
neg-	0.2, 0.3, 0.4	0.1, 0.2, 0.3	0.7, 0.8, 0.9	0.4, 0.5, 0.6	0.6, 0.7, 0.8

SPIONs

Candidates/ criteria	antibiofilm activity	hemocompatibility	activity with hydrogen peroxide	rheological properties	labour of their chemical synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.4
pos- SPIONs	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.4
neg- SPIONs	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.7

2. Determine the weights of alternatives for each criterion.

Table 2 shows the weight of alternatives for each criterion.

As the sums of weight values were more than 1.0, they were normalized in the TOPSIS code used for this analysis.

3. Determine the values in the criteria matrix.

The next step is obtaining the data of the criteria matrix.

Table 2 – The weights of alternatives for criteria

Alternatives /Values	antibiofilm activity	hemocompatibility	activity with hydrogen peroxide	rheological properties	labour of their chemical synthesis
C1-C3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 3 shows the criteria matrix in which the words "True" and "false" indicate the profit and cost criteria, respectively. In this matrix, antibiofilm activity, hemocompatibility, activity with hydrogen peroxide and rheological properties are profit criteria for SPIONs, whereas labour of their chemical synthesis is a cost criterion for these nanoparticles, respectively.

Table 3 – Criteria matrix

Alternatives /Values	antibiofilm activity	hemocompatib ili ty	activity with hydrogen peroxide	rheological properties	labour of their chemical synthesis
C1-C3	True	True	True	True	False

4. Normalization step for fuzzy membership degrees and weights.

The results of the vector normalization performed on the fuzzy membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs as well as those of the normalization carried out on their weights, are represented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

Table 4 - The normalized decision matrix

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen	properties	chemical
			peroxide		synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.565685	0.680414	0.341882	0.749269	0.444444
pos-SPIONs	0.707107	0.680414	0.227921	0.468293	0.444444
neg-SPIONs	0.424264	0.272166	0.911685	0.468293	0.777778

Table 5 – 7	The	weighted	normalized	decision	matrix
-------------	-----	----------	------------	----------	--------

Candidates/ criteria	antibiofilm activity	hemocompatibility	activity with hydrogen peroxide	rheological properties	labour of their chemical synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.113137	0.136083	0.068376	0.149854	0.088889
pos-SPIONs	0.141421	0.136083	0.045584	0.093659	0.088889
neg-SPIONs	0.084853	0.054433	0.182337	0.093659	0.155556

5. Determine the values of the best alternative and the worst alternative.

Table 6 shows the values of the best alternative and the worst alternative.

6. Determine the distances from the alternatives.

Table 7 shows the values of the distances from the best and the worst alternatives represented with (d_i^*) and (d_i^-) , respectively.

Table 6 – The best alternative (A^+) and the worst alternative (A^-)

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen	properties	chemical
			peroxide		synthesis
A+	0.141421	0.136083	0.182337	0.149854	0.088889
A.	0.084853	0.054433	0.045584	0.093659	0.155556

7. Determine the values of the similarity coefficients.

Table 8 shows the similarity coefficients (CC_i) and the rankings of the candidates according to their worst similarity.

Table 7 – The distances between the best and the worst alternatives

Candidates	d _i *	d _i ⁻
neutral SPIONs	0.117418	0.124854
pos-SPIONs	0.147849	0.119629
neg-SPIONs	0.132170	0.136753

The improvement of the activity of positively charged SPIONs with hydrogen peroxide can increase to 0.3. In this case, we obtain a better ranking for these nanoparticles than those of the same nanoparticles in the first analysis series.

Table 8 – The similarity coefficients and the ranking of the candidates

Candidates	CCi	ranking
neutral SPIONs	0.515346	1
pos-SPIONs	0.447249	3
neg-SPIONs	0.508520	2

The improvement of the activity of positively charged SPIONs with hydrogen peroxide can increase to 0.3. In this case, we obtain a better ranking for these nanoparticles than those of the same nanoparticles in the first analysis series. Tables 9 and 10 show the mean values of triangular fuzzy membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs and the results obtained with TOPSIS following this modification.

The only difference in the data of tables 9 and 1 concerns the mean value of the triangular fuzzy membership degree of the activity of positively charged SPIONs changed from 0.2 to 0.3.

Table 9 – The mean values of triangular fuzzy membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs

Candidates/ criteria	antibio film activity	hemocompatibility	activity with hydrogen peroxide	rheological properties	labour of their chemical synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.4
pos- SPIONs	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4
neg- SPIONs	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.7

C4

The weights of each alternative for each criterion and the values in the criteria matrix were used in this second series of analyses as presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Tables 11, 12, and 13 show the weighted normalized decision matrix, the best alternative (A^+) and the worst alternative (A^-) , and the distances from the best alternative (d_i^*) and the worst alternative (d_i^-) for the candidates, respectively.

Table 10 –	The normalized	decision	matrix
------------	----------------	----------	--------

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen peroxide	properties	chemi cal synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.565685	0.680414	0.331295	0.749269	0.444444
pos-SPIONs	0.707107	0.680414	0.331295	0.468293	0.444444
neg-SPIONs	0.424264	0.272166	0.883452	0.468293	0.777778

Table 11 - The weighted normalized decision matrix

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen	properties	chemical
			peroxide		synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.113137	0.136083	0.066259	0.149855	0.088889
pos-SPIONs	0.141421	0.136083	0.066259	0.093659	0.088889
neg-SPIONs	0.084853	0.054433	0.176690	0.093659	0.093659

Table 12 – The best alternative (A⁺) and the worst alternative (A⁻)

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen	properties	chemical
			peroxide		synthesis
A^+	0.141421	0.136083	0.176690	0.149854	0.088889
A ⁻	0.084853	0.054433	0.066259	0.093659	0.155556

Table 13 – The distances from the best alternative and the worst alternative for candidates

Candidates	d _i *	\mathbf{d}_i
neutral SPIONs	0.113996	0.122756
pos-SPIONs	0.123907	0.119629
neg-SPIONs	0.132170	0.110432

Table 14 – The similarity coefficients and the ranking of the candidates

Candidates	CCi	ranking
neutral SPIONs	0.518500	1
pos-SPIONs	0.491216	2
neg-SPIONs	0.455196	3

The comparison of Tables 8 and 14 shows that the ranking of SPIONs is affected after the change in the mean value of triangular fuzzy membership degree of the reactivity of positively charged SPIONs with hydrogen peroxide from 0.2 to 0.3. In the first ranking before this modification, these nanoparticles were ranked in the third

place, whereas they appeared in the second place after this modification.

Fuzzy logic is a non-classical logic with applications in sciences and engineering [55–59]. A prediction model called the model of the tree has been applied for the improvement of these applications [60]. This model can be used to determine the number of profit and cost criteria and predict the impact of inappropriate consideration of the nanoparticles' characteristics on their ranks. The application of fuzzy logic in this model was explained previously [60]. The fuzzy logic disjunction operator is an important operator in this logic that can determine the effect of the simultaneous consideration of entities. Lukasiewicz's type disjunction can be used in fuzzy matrices [61, 62].

In another analysis series, Lukasiewicz's type disjunction was used to determine the impact of the simultaneous consideration of the labour for the chemical synthesis of SPIONs. This analysis was aimed to determine the effect of the inappropriate consideration and underestimation of this criterion for these nanoparticles. Suppose the manufacturer who synthesizes these nanoparticles while comparing with other nanoparticles considers that the labour for the preparation of neutral SPIONs and positively charged SPIONs is not high. In that case, the confusion in consideration of their manufacturing procedure due to the confusion of categories and inconsistency in his epistemic beliefs on their characteristics can change the ranks of these nanoparticles. If the membership degrees for the labor required for the preparation of other nanoparticles are as high as 0.6 or more, the summation of the fuzzy degree memberships of this criterion for these two types of SPIONs and those of other nanoparticles would be 1.0 or greater than 1.0. The maximal value of Lukasiewicz's type disjunction is 1.0. So, the value of 1.0 will appear as the maximum value in the output of TOPSIS.

Tables 15 and 16 show the mean values of the triangular fuzzy membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs and the output of modified TOPSIS after their consideration with other nanoparticles.

Table 15 – The mean values of triangular fuzzy membership degrees of the characteristics of SPIONs after consideration with other nanoparticles

Candidates/	antibiofilm	hemocompatibility	activity with	rheological	labour of their
criteria	activity		hydrogen peroxide	properties	chemical
					synthesis
neutral SPIONs	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.8	1.0
pos- SPIONs	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	1.0
neg- SPIONs	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.7

Table 16 – The similarity coefficients and the ranking of the candidates according to the worst similarity

Candidates	CCi	ranking
neutral SPIONs	0.461011	3
pos-SPIONs	0.394185	1
neg-SPIONs	0.554313	2

As shown in Table 16, the positively charged SPIONs and negatively charged SPIONs are ranked in the first and second positions, respectively, whereas the neutral SPIONs are ranked in the third position. This is due to Lukasiewicz's type disjunction in the TOPSIS code and the summation of the degrees of membership of SPIONs and other nanoparticles.

As TOPSIS has been used to optimize manufacturing processes [63–66], the modification of this algorithm with the fuzzy disjunction operator, as explained in this work can help investigate the impact of the confusion of categories when the criteria for the manufacture of materials are selected. Fuzzy logic also has other operators such as conjunction operator and implication operator. These operators can also be implemented in the TOPSIS code to determine the other situations affecting the manufacturing processes [67–73].

Other non-classical logic, such as modal logic and computability logic [74], can also be used in the TOPSIS analysis, including the issues explained in this paper for the optimization of nanoparticle manufacture.

In recent years, several nanomaterials and biomaterials' physicochemical and biological properties have been investigated [75–80]. These works have revealed the properties and activities of these materials for their manufacture as well as their applications in diverse fields of science and engineering [81–85]. Further investigations are required for the analysis of the properties of these materials with TOPSIS.

4 Conclusions

The properties of nanoparticles are diverse and require being ranked to determine which of these nanoparticles has the best characteristics in comaprison with the others. This paper presents the characteristics of SPIONs, and their impact on ranking these nanoparticles has been explained. The results obtained in this study show that neutral SPIONs have a better rank of positively charged negatively charged nanoparticles. Moreover, and improving the activity of positively charged SPIONs with hydrogen peroxide can affect their rank. These results are promising for the manufacture of the next generations of SPIONs. Moreover, they can be helpful in a comparative study of these nanoparticles with the other ones. The results can be applied in the comparative optimization of the manufacturing procedures of these nanoparticles.

5 Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Shivanjan Chakravorty for the code of TOPSIS.

References

- Kalidasan, V., Liu, X.L., Li, Y., Sugumaran, P.J., Liu, A.H., Ren, L., Ding, J. (2018). Examining the effect of ions and proteins on the heat dissipation of iron oxide nanocrystals, *RSC Adv.*, Vol. 8, pp. 1443–1450. doi: 10.1039/C7RA11472A.
- Wang, X., Xing, X., Zhang, B., Liu, F., Cheng, Y., Shi, D. (2014). Surface engineered antifouling optomagnetic SPIONs for bimodal targeted imaging of pancreatic cancer cells, *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, Vol. 9, pp. 1601–1615. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S58334.
- Wang, J., Zhang, B., Wang, L., Wang, M., Gao, F. (2015). One-pot synthesis of water-soluble superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and their MRI contrast effects in the mouse brains, *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, Vol. 48(1), pp. 416– 423. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2014.12.026.
- Javanbakht, T., Laurent, S., Stanicki, D., Wilkinson, K.J. (2016). Relating the surface properties of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) to their bactericidal effect towards a biofilm of *Streptococcus mutans*, *Plos One*. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154445.
- Javanbakht, T., Hachem, A., Laurent, S., Sacher, E., Merhi, Y., Yahia, L'H. (2014). Comparative study of the influences of surface functionality and corona protein adsorption on the hemocompatibility of magnetite nanoparticles, Society for Biomaterials, p. 445.
- Javanbakht, T., Laurent, S., Stanicki, D., Frenette, M. (2020). Correlation between physicochemical properties of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and their reactivity with hydrogen peroxide, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, Vol. 98(10), pp. 601–608. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2020-0087.
- 7. Javanbakht, T., Laurent, S., Stanicki, D., Salzmann, I. (2021). Rheological properties of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, *Journal of Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 8(1), pp. C29-C37. doi: 10.21272/jes.2021.8(1).c4.
- 8. Mireles, L.-K., Sacher, E., Yahia, L'H., Laurent, S., Stanicki, D. (2016). Washing effect on superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, *Data in Brief*, Vol. 7, pp. 1296–1301. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2016.03.104.
- Arias, L.S., Pessan, J.P., Vieira, A.P.M. de Lima, T.M.T., Delbem, A.C.B., Monteiro, D.R. (2018). Iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications: a perspective on synthesis, drugs, antimicrobial activity, and toxicity, *Antibiotics*, Vol. 7(46). doi: 10.3390/antibiotics7020046.
- Ranmadugala, D., Ebrahiminezhad, A., Manley-Harris, M., Ghasemi, Y., Berenjian, A. (2017). The effect of iron oxide nanoparticles on *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm, growth and viability, *Process Biochemistry*, Vol. 62, pp. 231–240. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2017.07.003.
- Haney, C., Rowe, J.J., Robinson, J.B. (2012). SPIONs increase biofilm formation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, *Journal of Biomaterials and Nanobiotechnology*, Vol. 03(04), pp. 508–518. doi: 10.4236/jbnb.2012.324052.
- Szekeres, M., Illés, E., Janko, C., Farkas, K. (2015). Hemocompatibility and biomedical potential of poly(gallic acid) coated iron oxide nanoparticles for theranostic use, *Journal of Nanomedicine and Nanotechnology*, Vol. 2(6), 1000252. doi: 10.4172/2157-7439.1000252.
- Janko, C., Zaloga, J., Pöttler, M., Dürr, S., Eberbeck, D., Tietze, R., Lyer, S. Alexiou, C. (2017). Strategies to optimize the biocompatibility of iron oxide nanoparticles – "SPIONs safe by design", *Journal of Magnetism and Magneric Materials*, Vol. 431(1), pp. 281–284.
- 14. Blok S.L.J., Engels, G.E. (2016). *In vitro* hemocompatibility testing: The importance of fresh blood, *Biointerphases*, Vol. 11(2), 029802. doi: 10.1116/1.4941850.
- 15. Yeh, Y.-C., Huang, T.-H., Yang, S.-C., Chen, C.-C., Fang, J.-Y. (2020). Nano-based drug delivery or targeting to eradicate bacteria for infection mitigation: A review of recent advances, *Front. Chem.*, Vol. 8, 286. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2020.00286.
- Armijo, L.M., Wawrzyniec, S.J., Kopciuch, M., Brandt, Y.I., Rivera, A.C., Withers, N.J., Cook, N.C., Huber, D.L., Monson, T.C., Smyth, H.D.C., Osinski, M. (2020). Antibacterial activity of iron oxide, iron nitride, and tobramycin conjugated nanoparticles against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms, *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, Vol. 18(35). doi: 10.1186/s12951-020-0588-6.
- Vieira, A.P.M., Arias, L.S., Neto, F.N.S., Kubo, A.M., Lima, B.H.R., de Camargo, E.R., Pessan, J.P., Delbem, A.C.B., Monteiro, D.R. (2019). Antibiofilm effect of chlorhexidine-carrier nanosystem based on iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles and chitosan, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, Vol. 174, pp. 224–231. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.11.023.
- Vallabani, N.V.S., Vinu, A., Singh, S., Karakoti, A. (2020). Tuning the ATP-triggered pro-oxidant activity of iron oxide-based nanozyme towards an efficient antibacterial strategy, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, Vol. 567, pp. 154–164. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2020.01.099.
- Maruthupandy, M., Muneeswaran, T., Anand, M., Quero, F. (2020). Highly efficient multifunctional graphene/chitosan/magnetite nanocomposites for photocatalytic degradation of important dye molecules, *International Journal* of *Biological Macromolecules*, Vol. 153, pp. 736–746. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.03.045.
- Saraswathy, A., Nazerr, S.S., Nimi, N., Santhakumar, H., Suma, P.R., Jibin, K., Victor, M., fernandez, F.B., Amurugam, S., Shenoy, S.J., Varma, P.R.H., Jayasree, R.S. (2021). Asialoglycoprotein receptor targeted optical and magnetic resonance imaging and therapy of liver fibrosis using pullulan stabilized multi-functional iron oxide nanoprobe, *Scientific Reports*, Vol. 11, 18324. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-97808-0.
- 21. Hwang, C.L., Yoon, K. (1981). Multiple attribute decision making: Methods and applications, Springer-Verlag, New York.

- 22. Vithalani AA, Vithalani CH. (2017). Application of combined TOPSIS and AHP method for spectrum selection in cognitive radio by channel characteristic evaluation, *International Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering*, Vol. 10(2), pp. 71–79.
- Sangchini, E.K., Naliavn, O.A., Tahmasebipour, N. (2017). Application of TOPSIS method in evaluation and prioritization of social stability in rural areas, *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Manage*, Vol. 21(1), pp. 49–56. doi: 10.4314/jasem.v21i1.6.
- 24. Yadav, V., Karmakar, S., Kalbar, P.P., Dikshit, A.K. (2019). Pytops: A Python based tool for TOPSIS, *SoftwareX*, Vol. 9, pp. 217–222. doi: 10.1016/j.softx.2019.02.004.
- Zulqarnain, R.M., Saeed, M., Ahmad, N., Dayan, F., Ahmad, B. (2020). Application of TOPSIS method for decision making, International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Vol. 7(2), pp. 76–81.
- Motia, S., Reddy, S.R.N. (2020). Application of TOPSIS method in selection of design attributes of decision support system for fertilizer recommendation, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, pp. 1689–1704.
- 27. Indahingwati, A., Barid, M., Wajdi, N., Susilo, D. E., Kurniasih, N., & Rahim, R. (2018). Comparison analysis of TOPSIS and fuzzy logic methods on fertilizer selection, *International journal of engineering and technology*, Vol. 7(2.3), pp. 109–114.
- 28. Jumarni, R. F., & Zamri, N. (2018). An integration of fuzzy TOPSIS and fuzzy logic for multi-criteria decision making problems, *International journal of engineering and technology*, Vol. 7(2), pp. 102–106.
- 29. Javanbakht T, Chakravorty, S. (2022). Prediction of human behavior with TOPSIS, *Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications*, Vol. 3(2), pp. 109–125.
- 30. Wieckowski, J., Sałabun, W. (2020). How to handling with uncertain data in the TOPSIS technique? *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 176, pp. 2232–2242.
- 31. Roghanian, E., Sheykhan, A., Abendankashi, E.S. (2014). An application of fuzzy TOPSIS to improve the process of supply chain management in the food industries: A case study of protein products manufacturing company, *Decision Science Letters*, Vol. 3(1), pp. 17–26.
- 32. Huang, W., Huang, Y.Y. (2012). Research on the performance evaluation of Chongqing electric power supply bureaus based on TOPSIS, *Energy Procedia*, Vol. 14, pp. 899–905.
- Barrios, M.A.O. et al. (2016). An AHP-Topsis integrated model for selecting the most appropriate tomography equipment, *International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making*, Vol. 15(4), pp. 861–885. doi: 10.1142/S021962201640006X.
- Abdel-Basset, M. et al. (2019). A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection, *Journal of Medical Systems*, Vol. 43, 38.
- 35. Mustapha, M.T. et al. (2021). Application of fuzzy TOPSIS in the sterilization of medical devices, in *Applications of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Theories in Healthcare and Biomedical Engineering*, I. Ozsahin, D. Ozsahin, B. Uzun (Eds.), Elsevier, pp. 197–216. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-824086-1.00013-X.
- 36. Trivedi, A. et al. (2019). Fuzzy TOPSIS multi-criteria decision making for selection of electric molding machine, in *Innovations in Computer Science and Engineering*, Springer, pp. 325–332.
- 37. Lata, S. et al. (2021). Selection of machine tool by using FUZZY TOPSIS method, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2341, 020015.
- Foubert, B., Mitton, N. (2021). RODENT: A flexible TOPSIS based routine protocol for multi-technology devices in wireless sensor networks, *ITU Journal on Future and Evolving Technologies*, 7.
- Javanbakht T, Laurent S, Stanicki D, David E. (2019). Related physicochemical, rheological, and dielectric properties of nanocomposites of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with polyethyleneglycol, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, Vol. 136, pp. 48280–48290.
- 40. Korbryn, A. (2016). A data pre-processing model for the TOPSIS method, *Fobia Oecnomica Stetinensia*, pp. 219–235. doi: 10.1515/foli-2016-0036.
- 41. Rahim, R. et al. (2018). TOPSIS method application for decision support system in internal control for selecting best employees, 2nd International Conference on Statistics, Mathematics, Teaching and Research, Vol. 1028, 012052. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1028/1/012052.
- 42. Zhongyou, X. (2012). Study on the application of TOPSIS method to the introduction of foreign players in CBA games, *International Conference on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering*, Vol. 33, 2034–2039.
- Ahariea, E. et al. (2019). A TOPSIS-based approach for wind turbines ranking with negative performance and different weighting strategies, *E3S Web of Conferences*, 85, 03003. doi:10.1051/e3sconf/20198503003.
- 44. Alinezhad, A., Amini, A. (2011). Sensitivity analysis of TOPSIS technique : The results of change in the weight of one attribute on the final ranking of alternatives, *Journal of Optimization in Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 7, pp. 23–28.
- 45. Alemiardakani, A. (2014). Enhancing impact characterization and multi-criteria design optimization of glass fiber reinforced polypropylene laminates, PhD thesis, The University of British Columbia.
- 46. Singh, H., Singh, J. (2014). Attribute based analysis, evaluation and selection of nanocomposite using MADM-TOPSIS approach, *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research*, Vol. 3(1), pp. 21–30.
- 47. Fuse, K. et al. (2021). Integration of fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methods for wire electric discharge machining of titanium (Ti6Al4V) alloy using RSM, *Materials*, Vol. 14(23), 7408.
- Ponugoti, U. et al. (2019). Optimizing cutting parameters in hard turning of AISI 52100 steel using topsis approach, *Journal of Mechanical and energy Engieering*, Vol. 3(43), pp. 227–232. doi: 10.30464/jmee.2019.3.3.227.
- 49. Yadav, S. et al. (2019). A novel hybrid TOPSIS-PSI approach for material selection in marine applications, *Sådhanå*, Vol. 44(3). doi: 10.1007/s12046-018-1020-x.
- 50. Nguyen, V.C. et al. (2021). Cutting parameter optimization in finishing milling of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy under MQL condition using TOPSIS and ANOVA analysis, *Engineering, Technology and Applied Science Research*, Vol. 11(1):6775–6780.

- 51. Falqi, I.I. et al. (2019). Siliceous concrete materials management for sustainability using fuzzy-TOPSIS approach, *Applied Sciences*, Vol. 9(17), 3457. doi: 10.3390/app9173457.
- 52. Dialey, S. et al. (2017). PSI and TOPSIS based selection of process parameters in WEDM, *Periodica Polytechnica Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 61(4), pp. 255–260. doi: 10.3311/PPme.10431.
- 53. Singh, T. Agrawal, V.P. (2014). Attribute based specification, comparison and selection of nanoactuator elements, *International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Nano Technolog*, Vol. 1(6), pp. 1–14.
- 54. Mittal, K., Tewari, P.C., Khanduja, D. (2017). On the right approach to selecting a quality improvement project in manufacturing industries, *Operation Research and Decisions*, pp. 105–124. doi: 10.5277/ord170106.
- 55. Harris, J. (2005). Fuzzy logic applications in engineering science in *Intelligent Systems, Control and Automation : Science and Engineering*, Springer.
- 56. Bien, Z., Min, K.C. (1995). Fuzzy logic and its applications to engineering, information sciences, and intelligent systems, in *Theory and Decision Library D*, TDLD, 16.
- 57. Gupta, A.K. (2021). Fuzzy logic and their application in different areas of engineering science and research : A survey, *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, Vol. 8(2), pp. 71–75. doi: 10.32628/IJSRST218212.
- 58. Ram, M. (2018). Advanced fuzzy logic approaches in engineering science, IGI Global, Hershey, PA, USA.
- 59. Ross. T.J. (Ed.) (1994). Fuzzy logic with engineering applications, McGraw-Hill Education.
- 60. Javanbakht, T. (2020). Être et Pensée, S. Robert & J.P. Beaudin (Eds.), Bouquinbec, Montreal.
- 61. Javanbakht, T. (2016). Logique floue et arborescence comme outils de modélisation des catégories en tant que prototypes, Thesis, University of Quebec in Montreal.
- 62. Muthuraji, T., Sriram, S. (2017). Reduction of an intuitionistic fuzzy matrix to fuzzy matrix with some algebraic properties, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, Vol. 13(4), 475–483.
- 63. Shukla, A. et al. (2017). Applications of TOPSIS algorithm on various manufacturing processes: A review, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Vol. 4(4), 5320-5329. doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2017.05.042.
- 64. Raja, S., Rajan, A.J. (2022). A decision-making model for selection of the suitable FDM machine using fuzzy TOPSIS, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 7653292. doi: 10.1155/2022/7653292.
- 65. Samala, T. et al. (2022). A systematic simulation-based multi-criteria decision-making approach for the evaluation of semi-fully flexible machine system process parameters, *Electronics*, Vol. 11, 233. doi: 10.3390/electronics11020233.
- 66. Mabkhot, M.M. et al. (2020). An ontology-based multi-criteria decision support system to reconfigure manufacturing systems, *IISE Transactions*, Vol. 52(1).
- 67. Oh, K.W., Bandler, W. (1987). Properties of fuzzy implication operators, *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, Vol. 1, pp. 273–28.
- 68. Khaliq, A., Ahmad, A. (2010). Fuzzy logic and approximate reasoning, Thesis, Blekinge Institute of Technology.
- 69. Espin-Andrade, R.A. et al. (2015). Archimedean-compensatory fuzzy logic systems, *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, Vol. 8, pp. 54–62.
- 70. Emami, M.R. (1997). Systematic methodology of fuzzy-logic modeling and control and application to robotics, Thesis, University of Toronto.
- Chen, X., Hu, Z., Sun, Y. (2022). Fuzzy logic based logical query answering on knowledge graphs, AAAI Technical Track on Data Mining and Knowledge Management, Vol. 36(4). doi: 10.1609/aaai.v36i4.20310.
- 72. Smets, P., Magre, P. (1987). Implication in fuzzy logic, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, Vol. 1, pp. 327–347.
- 73. Ying, M. (2002). Implication operators in fuzzy logic, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 10(1), pp. 88–91, doi: 10.1109/91.983282.
- 74. Yao, Y.Y. (1998). A comparative study of fuzzy sets and rough sets, *Information Sciences*, Vol. 109(1–4), pp. 227–242. doi: 10.1016/S0020-0255(98)10023-3.
- Gatoo, M.A. et al. (2014). Physicochemical properties of nanomaterials: Implication in associated toxic manifestations, *Toxicity* of Nanomaterials, 498420. doi: 10.1155/2014/498420.
- Ghane-Motlagh B, Javanbakht T, Shoghi F, Wilkinson KJ, Martel R, Sawan M. (2016). Physicochemical properties of peptidecoated microelectrode arrays and their in vitro effects on neuroblast cells, *Materials Science and Engineering C*, Vol. 68, pp. 642–650.
- 77. Javanbakht T, Ghane-Motlagh B, Sawan M. (2020). Comparative study of antibiofilm activity and physicochemical properties of microelectrode arrays, *Microelectronic Engineering*, 229:111305.
- 78. Javanbakht T, Sokolowski W. (2015). Thiol-ene/acrylate systems for biomedical shape-memory polymers, *Shape Memory Polymers for Biomedical Applications*, pp. 157–166.
- Djavanbakht T, Carrier V, André JM, Barchewitz R, Troussel P. (2000). Effets d'un chauffage thermique sur les performances de miroirs multicouches Mo/Si, Mo/C et Ni/C pour le rayonnement X mou, *Journal de Physique IV*, France, Vol. 10, pp. 281– 287.
- 80. Javanbakht T, David E. (2020). Rheological and physical properties of a nanocomposite of graphene oxide nanoribbons with polyvinyl alcohol, *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials*, 0892705720912767.
- Javanbakht T, Hadian H, Wilkinson KJ. (2020). Comparative study of physicochemical properties and antibiofilm activity of graphene oxide nanoribbons, *Journal of Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 7(1), pp. C1–C8.
- 82. Navya, P.N., Daima, H.K. (2016). Rational engineering of physicochemical properties of nanomaterials for biomedical applications with nanotoxicological perspectives, *Nano Convergence*, 1.
- 83. Javanbakht T, Bérard A, Tavares J.R. (2016). Polyethylene glycol and poly (vinyl alcohol) hydrogels treated with photoinitiated chemical vapor deposition, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, Vol. 94(9), pp. 744–750.
- Javanbakht T, Raphael W, Tavares J.R. (2016). Physicochemical properties of cellulose nanocrystals treated by photo-initiated chemical vapour deposition (PICVD), *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 94(6), pp. 1135–1139.
- 85. Afolalu, S.A. et al. (2020). Overview Of physicochemical and surface properties of nanoparticles for engineering applications, *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, Vol. 665, 012049.