

**Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine  
Sumy State University  
Kaunas University of Technology, School of  
Economics and Business  
University of Bradford, School of Management  
Riga Technical University  
Czech University of Life Sciences Prague  
University of New Brunswick  
International Centre for Enterprise and Sustainable**



# **"ECONOMICS FOR ECOLOGY"**

*("EU practices of education for sustainable  
development")*

*Materials  
International scientific-practical conference  
(Ukraine, Sumy, May14–17, 2024)*

*Sumy  
Sumy State University  
2024*

УДК: 330.15:502/504  
Авторський знак: S70

The conference is held within the Jean Monnet Modules “Fostering EU Practices of Education for Sustainable Development through the Brand Language: Interdisciplinary Studies” (101085708-ESDbrandEU-ERASMUS-JMO-2022-HEI-TCH-RSCH), Jean Monnet Module “Youth and Business: EU Practices for Cooperation” (101126538 — YouthBEU — ERASMUS-JMO-2023-HEI-TCH-RSCH) (2023-2026) and “Disruptive technologies for sustainable development in conditions of Industries 4.0 and 5.0: the EU Experience (101083435 — DTSDI — ERASMUS-JMO-2022-HEI-TCH-RSCH)”



Co-funded by  
the European Union

Editor-in-Chief Prof., Dr. Oleksandra Karintseva, head of the economics, entrepreneurship and business administration, Sumy State University

Approved by the Academic Council of SSI BIEM of Sumy State University  
(protocol №2, 5 September 2024)

Economics for Ecology : Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference, Sumy, May 14–17, 2024 / edited by Karintseva Oleksandra and Kubatko Oleksandr . – Sumy : Sumy State University, 2024 – 103 p. (*electronic edition*)

For scientists, scientists, students, graduate students, representatives of business and public organizations and higher education institutions and a wide range of readers.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Yevhen Mishenin, Inessa Yarova</i>	FACILITATION IN THE MANAGEMENT OF SUSTAINABLE SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT OF FORESTRY	6
<i>Yevhen Mishenin, Inessa Yarova</i>	ENVIRONMENTAL TAXATION IN THE SYSTEM OF SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC SECURITY	8
<i>Konoplenko Andrii</i>	ANALYSIS OF THE IT OUTSOURCING MARKET: TRENDS AND FORECASTS	11
<i>Wenyan Liu</i>	A CITATION AND PUBLICATION PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS ON INNOVATION, BUSINESS AND DIGITALISATION	13
<i>Vladyslav Piven, Oleksadra Karintseva</i>	THE IMPACT OF DEMOCRACY ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: A CASE OF THE EU	15
<i>Raminta Vaitiekuniene, Kristina Sutiene, Rytis Krusinskas, Bohdan Kovalov</i>	FINANCIAL AND INNOVATION PERFORMANCE OF THE COMPANIES IN THE CONTEXT OF GREEN DEAL TARGETS	17
<i>Artem Borukha, Oleksandr Kubatko</i>	DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES TO ENSURE ECONOMIC AND RESOURCE SECURITY OF UKRAINE	21
<i>Iryna Burlakova, Anastasiya Kuzchenko, Zumrut Alic</i>	THEORETICAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATIONS OF SOCIAL SOLIDARITY ECONOMY	23
<i>Chang Shengchun</i>	THE IMPACT OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY ON CARBON REDUCTION POTENTIAL	25
<i>Mykhailo Chortok</i>	THE ROLE OF SOCIAL SOLIDARITY ECONOMY FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ESTABLISHING	29
<i>Yuliia Chortok, Solodovnyk O.</i>	FAIR-TRADE AS A TREND FOR SOCIAL SOLIDARITY ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT	31
<i>Du Shutong</i>	ESG POLICY IN BANKING AND FINANCES SECTOR: CASES OF EUROPEAN COMPANIES	33
<i>Gawel Solowski</i>	MICROBIAL HYDROGEN PRODUCTION'S RECENT ACHIEVEMENTS	35
<i>Inna Koblianska</i>	TOWARDS PROACTIVE POLICY: A FRAMEWORK FOR SAFE AND SUSTAINABLE FERTILISER MANAGEMENT	42

<i>Yuliia Lukianova</i>	PACKAGE LABELING AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	45
<i>Helena E. Myeya</i>	STAKEHOLDERS' ROLE IN IMPROVING SMALLHOLDER FARMERS' RESILIENCE TO CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS IN CENTRAL, TANZANIA	49
<i>Anna Shcherbak, Olena Nazarenko</i>	PROJECT-BASED LEARNING AS A METHOD OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING	53
<i>Iryna Sotnyk, Maryna Nikulina</i>	STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN SMALL IT BUSINESS SECTOR	55
<i>Oleksandra Pavliv</i>	VIRTUAL EXCHANGE PRACTICE AS A PROCESS OF DEVELOPING SOCIOCULTURAL COMPETENCE	57
<i>Vladyslav Piven, Oleksandr Kubatko</i>	ECONOMIC GROWTH AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF KEY FACTORS	59
<i>Tetyana Sakhnenko, Viacheslav Voronenko</i>	STIMULATING BIOGAS PRODUCTION: ECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION	61
<i>Iryna Sotnyk</i>	DEVELOPMENT OF REMOTE EMPLOYMENT AS A RESPONSE TO MODERN SOCIAL CHALLENGES IN UKRAINE	64
<i>Iryna Sotnyk, Jan-Philipp Sasse, Evelina Trutnevyte</i>	SHAPING THE DECARBONIZED FUTURE OF THE ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY IN UKRAINE	66
<i>Iryna Sotnyk, Tetiana Kurbatova</i>	COST-EFFICIENT AND GREEN: TRANSFORMING HOUSEHOLD HEATING IN UKRAINE FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE	70
<i>Iryna Ushchapovska</i>	FROM THE LANGUAGE THAT SUSTAINS TO THE LANGUAGE OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	73
<i>Vnuchkova Viktoriia, Chulanova Halyna</i>	GAMIFYING SUSTAINABILITY EDUCATION FOR CULTURALLY DIVERSE CLASSROOMS	76
<i>Wang Fujin</i>	KEY ELEMENTS OF SUCCESSFUL ESG POLICY: EUROPEAN EXPERIENCE	79
<i>Wang Yimeng</i>	THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL ECONOMY ON THE EFFICIENCY OF GREEN TRANSFORMATION IN CHINESE CITIES	81
<i>Kostiantyn Zavrazhnyi, Anzhelika Kulyk</i>	HARNESSING GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION	84

<i>Amina Gura, Oleksandra Kubatko</i>	FUNCTIONING OF THE ENTERPRISE IN THE CONDITIONS OF WAR: SOCIO-ECONOMIC, ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	87
<i>Ding Lin, Oleksandra Kubatko</i>	ECONOMIC, ECOLOGICAL AND RENEWABLE ENERGY ASPECTS OF PETROCHINA COMPANY ACTIVITY	90
<i>Tetyana Sakhnenko, Oleksandr Ponomarenko, Oleksandr Kubatko</i>	RESTRUCTURING OF ECONOMIC SYSTEMS IN THE DIRECTION OF ENSURING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	94
<i>Jerzy Gilarowski</i>	TOURISM AS A WAY OF DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATION OF SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA	96
<i>Ponomarenko Ihor</i>	ECOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION: CURRENT TRENDS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GREEN TECHNOLOGIES	98
<i>Pavlo Hrytsenko, Tao Senlin</i>	THE ROLE OF VIRTUAL BUSINESS ENVIRONMENTS IN "GREEN ECONOMY" ENTITIES	101

# SHAPING THE DECARBONIZED FUTURE OF THE ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY IN UKRAINE

*Iryna Sotnyk, D.Sc. (Econ.), Prof.,  
Jan-Philipp Sasse, Ph.D.,  
Evelina Trutnevyte, Ph.D., Prof.,  
University of Geneva, Switzerland*

Today, the primary goal for many nations is to attain carbon neutrality by transitioning to green power. Since technologies using renewable energy sources convert energy mostly into electric power, the national electricity sectors are expected to be the locomotive of the green energy transformation. Therefore, numerous recent research studies delve into renewable energy sources matters within the electricity sector, aiming to establish a carbon-neutral blueprint for this industry across various nations.

Ukraine urgently needs to transition to green power due to its heavy reliance on energy imports, high energy and carbon intensity of the gross domestic product, and mounting challenges with electricity supply exacerbated by the destruction of domestic electricity infrastructure because of the ongoing Russian-Ukrainian war. Within its international commitments [1; 2], Ukraine should achieve carbon neutrality by 2060 and has formulated a national strategy to address this objective.

Amid the ongoing hostilities, the future of the United Energy System of Ukraine is becoming increasingly uncertain. This casts doubt on the viability of current energy system models and strategies [3; 4], necessitating updated solutions for rebuilding the United Energy System of Ukraine in the post-war era. Consequently, the research endeavors to propose cost-effective scenarios for the development of the electricity sector of Ukraine by 2035, considering various constraints on the available electricity technologies in the market. These scenarios aim to align with national decarbonization targets.

In our research, we simulated the United Energy System of Ukraine in 2035 using the spatial and regional EXPANSE model, which was customized for Ukraine [5; 6; 7]. Previous studies that modeled the national electricity industry typically employed macro models like TIMES-UKRAINE and constructed scenarios for the entire national economy and its key sectors [3; 4]. In contrast, the UKRAINE\_EXPANSE model diverges from TIMES-UKRAINE by capturing the regional distribution of the facilities of the United Energy System of Ukraine. This enables a regional examination of generation, storage, and transmission technologies, along with an evaluation of their social, economic, and environmental repercussions.

Besides Ukraine, the newly built model encompasses five neighboring countries (Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Moldova, and Hungary), represented as single-country nodes, and 24 administrative regions of Ukraine (excluding Crimea and the territories in Donbas occupied by Russia in 2014), connected to 11 nodes.

Within the model, we involved 17 electricity generation technologies (including onshore and offshore wind, solar power, biogas, wood biomass, agricultural and municipal waste, energy crops, small hydro, run-of-the-river, hydro dams, geothermal, gas, coal, lignite, oil, and nuclear energy), along with three storage technologies (pumped hydro storage, hydrogen, and batteries), and two transmission technologies (direct current for neighboring countries and alternating current for Ukraine's domestic lines).

12 cost-optimal scenarios for the United Energy System of Ukraine and five neighboring countries in 2035 were developed. These scenarios used combinations of constraints on the utilization of nuclear, coal, renewable energy resources (solar and wind), hydro dam, and pumped hydro storage technologies, as well as greenhouse gas emissions, as outlined in the country's commitments [1; 2].

For each scenario, there were computed the total installed capacities of electricity generation, storage and transmission technologies in 2035, considering the projected power demand for Ukraine and neighboring countries. Subsequently, we determined the annual electricity volumes generated by these technologies in 2035, along with the composition of the annual electricity technology mix, export and import flows for Ukraine. Additionally, we evaluated overall air pollution emissions, annualized direct electricity sector jobs, direct land use, and total system costs associated with each scenario.

The examination reveals a decrease in the total installed capacity across all scenarios in 2035, attributable to the rationalization of capacity structure, despite the growth of domestic electricity demand by 25%. While the combination of nuclear and coal capacities prevails, solar, onshore wind, hydro dam, and pumped hydro storage facilities play a significant role too, along with gas and offshore wind having less weight. Choosing any scenario will keep a large share (46-75%) of fossil fuels in the domestic electricity industry in 2035, including nuclear, coal, and gas in different proportions.

All scenarios adhere to the current pattern of the electricity generation mix, predominantly featuring nuclear and coal. Consequently, by 2035, Ukraine will persist in prioritizing nuclear power while scaling back coal capacity in alignment with national decarbonization objectives. Disregarding these targets would perpetuate coal as the primary resource for electricity generation due to its economic viability, even amidst some renewable energy sources development in line with established green power objectives. However, fulfilling national decarbonization plans necessitates embracing an alternative ecologically optimal option and allocating up to 14% more funds.

In addition to coal and nuclear, the notable components in the electricity generation mix will be hydro dams, solar, onshore wind, gas, and pumped hydro storage. However, their contribution is significantly lower compared to the combined outputs of coal and nuclear power. Scenarios featuring a decreased share of coal necessitate higher costs and larger land areas for accommodating electricity

installations. Nonetheless, they are expected to generate more employment opportunities in the sector and lead to reduced greenhouse gas emissions.

The rise in electricity demand projected for 2035 requires expanding domestic grids primarily in central regions of Ukraine across all scenarios. The expanded nuclear and renewable power generation leads to increased export volumes in the respective scenarios, driven by the reduced flexibility of energy production with these technologies. Consequently, to maintain balance in the United Energy System of Ukraine, electricity imports will also increase, albeit to a lesser degree than exports. As a result, all scenarios call for an expansion of the capacity of transborder transmission grids connecting Western Ukraine with its five neighboring countries.

Overall, the UKRAINE\_EXPANSE model introduces a novel methodology for analyzing regional energy systems, with a particular emphasis on the electricity sector, its sustainable development, and the transition to green power. However, the focus on the electricity sector is an advantage of the study and, at the same time, its limitation. Other restraints include pre-war data used to build the model and the time horizon limited by 2035 that should be acknowledged when considering policy implications.

*This study was funded by the University of Geneva's Uni4Ukraine grant and Department F.-A. Forel for Environmental and Aquatic Sciences (IS). The development of the backbone of the EXPANSE model was funded by the partnership between University of Geneva and Services Industriels de Genève (JPS, ET).*

## References

1. Energy Strategy of Ukraine for the period up to 2035 "Security, Energy Efficiency, Competitiveness", approved by the order of CMU dated August 18, 2017, No. 605-r. Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine, 2017. <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/605-2017-p#Text> [accessed 30 June 2023].
2. United Nations. Nationally Determined Contributions Registry, <https://unfccc.int/NDCREG>; 2023. [accessed 10 July 2023].
3. Chepeliev M, Diachuk O, Podolets R, Semeniuk A. What is the future of nuclear power in Ukraine? The role of war, techno-economic drivers, and safety considerations. *En Pol* 2023;178:113612. DOI:10.1016/j.enpol.2023.113612.
4. Chepeliev M, Diachuk O, Podolets R, Semeniuk A. Can Ukraine go "green" on the post-war recovery path? *Joule* 2023a;7(4):606-611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2023.02.007>.
5. Sasse J-P, Trutnevte E. A low-carbon electricity sector in Europe risks sustaining regional inequalities in benefits and vulnerabilities. *Nat Commun* 2023;14:2205. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37946-3>.



6. Sasse J-P, Trutnevyte E. Regional impacts of electricity system transition in Central Europe until 2035. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:4972. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18812-y>.

7. Sasse J-P, Trutnevyte E. Distributional trade-offs between regionally equitable and cost-efficient allocation of renewable electricity generation. *Appl En* 2019;254:113724. DOI:10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113724.